

Colorado Fiscal Monitoring Tool

Fiscal Monitoring Form

Eligible Entity: _____

Eligible Entity Representative(s): _____

Date: _____ Completed by: _____

Documents Required for Monitoring Visit

- Accounting procedures to determine allowability, allocability, and reasonableness of costs
- A report comparing budgeted vs. actual costs

Section A: Circle yes or no to the following questions

A-1	Was any portion of the grant implemented prior to approval?	YES	NO
A-2	Are grant funds utilized within the grant program year?	YES	NO
A-3	Are records tracked by the grant program year?	YES	NO
A-4	Are grant expenditures consistent with application and plan?	YES	NO
A-5	Does the eligible entity retain their records for a minimum of five years?	YES	NO
A-6	Does the eligible entity know of the OMB supercircular and where to access it?	YES	NO
A-7	Does the eligible entity ensure that CSBG funds are not used for partisan activities, candidate endorsement or any other political activity?	YES	NO
A-8	Does the eligible entity ensure that CSBG funds are not used for purchase of land, improvement of land, construction or permanent improvement of buildings?	YES	NO
A-9	Does fiscal staff have a copy of DOLA's most recent CSBG manual or do they know where to access it?	YES	NO

Colorado Fiscal Monitoring Tool

A-10	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?

Section B: Financial Process

B-1	Describe the payment and approval process for invoices and timesheets.

B-2	Is there a separation of duties and responsibilities within the accounting section?	YES	NO
------------	---	-----	----

B-3	What systems do you use to keep track of CSBG funding allocation?

B-4	Has the original grant budget been amended or modified?	YES	NO
------------	---	-----	----

B-5	Does the eligible entity have written accounting procedures to determine allowability, allocability, and reasonableness of costs and do the written procedures reflect current practices? (Please provide these procedures for review)	YES	NO
------------	--	-----	----

B-6	Does the eligible entity prepare a report to compare actual versus budgeted costs? (Please provide the report for review)	YES	NO
------------	---	-----	----

B-7a	Are financial reports communicated regularly to board and staff?	YES	NO
-------------	--	-----	----

B-7b	Explain how, when and to whom.
-------------	--------------------------------

Colorado Fiscal Monitoring Tool

--

B-8a	Do administrative and indirect costs charged to the program have supporting documentation to form the basis for their amounts charged to the program? (If the agency is using the 10% de minimis this question is not applicable.)	YES	NO
-------------	--	-----	----

B-8b	What is the documentation?

B-9	Are all general ledger entries traceable to source documentation?	YES	NO
------------	---	-----	----

B-10	Does the entity's financial management system have a separate account to track federally awarded funds and can it generate reports for specific grant expenditures?	YES	NO
-------------	---	-----	----

B-11	Does the eligible entity reconcile and close out reports within 30 days after the end date of each reporting period?	YES	NO
-------------	--	-----	----

B-12	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

Eligible Entity:

Eligible Entity Representative(s): _____

Date: _____ Completed by: _____

Documents Required for Monitoring Visit

- Tripartite Board minutes
- Tripartite Board Bylaws
- CSBG activity sheets
- Nondiscrimination policies for employees
- Nondiscrimination policies for clients
- Client Files (must be available electronically via shared screen)

Contact Information

Name	Role	Email Address	Phone Number

Section A: General Administration

A-1	How is the CSBG funding administered?
	Direct services
	Linkages
	Subcontracted to local agencies

A-2	Describe how funds are used:

A-3a	Is the entity a Multi-County Service Agency?	YES	NO
-------------	--	-----	----

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

A-3b	If so, what are the counties served?

A-3c	Describe the collaboration that occurs to address causes and conditions of poverty in the various counties and/or municipalities. If not, describe the collaboration within the single county area.

A-4a	What Federal Objectives are being met?
	Employment
	Education and Cognitive Development
	Income, Infrastructure and Asset Building
	Housing
	Health and Social/Behavioral Development (Includes Nutrition)
	Civic Engagement and Community Development
	Services Supporting Multiple Domains
	Linkages
	Agency Capacity Building
	Other (emergency management/disaster relief)

A-4b Has this changed within the last 3 years?	YES	NO
---	-----	----

A-4c	If so, why?

A-5a	National Goals being met:
	Goal 1: Individuals and Families with low incomes are stable and achieve economic security
	Goal 2: Communities where people with low incomes live are healthy and offer economic opportunity
	Goal 3: People with low incomes are engaged and active in building opportunities in communities

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

A-5b	Has this changed within the last 3 years?	YES	NO
-------------	---	-----	----

A-5c	If so, why?		

A-6a	What National Performance Indicators (NPIs) are being met? (employment, community improvement/revitalization and community enhancement thru participation)		

A-6b	Does the eligible entity understand the NPIs?	YES	NO
-------------	---	-----	----

A-7a	Have there been any changes in the eligible entity's administrative structure or operations of the CSBG program?	YES	NO
-------------	--	-----	----

A-7b	If so, please describe:		

A-8a	Has there been any staff turnover in key positions?	YES	NO
-------------	---	-----	----

A-8b	If so, please describe:		

A-9	Does program staff have a copy or know where to access DOLA's most recent CSBG manual?	YES	NO
------------	--	-----	----

A-10	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?		

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

Section B: Description of Eligible Entity's Tripartite Board/Advisory Committee

B-1	Elected Public Officials:		
		# Seats	
		# Vacancies	
	Low-Income Representatives		
		# Seats	
		# Vacancies	
	Private Sector Representatives		
		# Seats	
		# Vacancies	
B-2	Does the Tripartite board provide representation of service area?		
B-3	How often does the board meet?		
B-4	What is the process for filling vacancies on the board?		
B-5	How do board members provide input in the planning and implementation of the CSBG program?		
B-6	Are the board minutes on file? (Please provide the board minutes for review)	YES	NO
B-7	Are the board bylaws on file? (Please provide the board bylaws for review)	YES	NO
B-8	Do the bylaws include "democratic selection process" language for the low-income portion of the board?	YES	NO

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

B-9	When were the bylaws last revisited?

B-10	Are any revisions needed to the bylaws?

B-11	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?

Section C: Program

C-1a	What is the mission of the agency/department?

C-1b	Do the programs fit into the overall mission of the agency/department?	YES	NO
-------------	--	-----	----

C-2	Who handles the oversight responsibilities related to CSBG project(s)?

C-3	How does the service delivery system target the needs of low-income individuals and families in the service area?

C-4	Does the eligible entity have a long range/strategic plan?	YES	NO
------------	--	-----	----

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

C-5	How does the eligible entity gather and track the information needed to complete the CSBG program reports?

C-6	How does the eligible entity document and publicize program outcomes?

C-7	What is the eligible entity's process for prioritizing applications for assistance via CSBG?

C-8	Describe how low-income individuals participate in program design.

C-9	Describe how CSBG and other programs collaborate in the community served. (WIOA, LIHEAP, Child Support, and other required partners?)

C-10	Has the eligible entity made any program changes to the original community action plan/grant application?

C-11a	Does the eligible entity maintain nondiscrimination policies for employees? (Please provide the nondiscrimination policies for review)	YES	NO
--------------	--	-----	----

C-11b	Describe any nondiscrimination training offered by the eligible entity in the last three years.

C-11c	Has the eligible entity received any discrimination complaints or allegations from employees in the last three years?	YES	NO
--------------	---	-----	----

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

C-11d	What is the process for responding to allegations of discrimination?
C-12	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?

Section D: Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA)

D-1	How does the eligible entity evaluate program performance?
D-2	What staff is responsible for ensuring accurate and complete collection and evaluation of data?
D-3	How does the eligible entity use this data? (who reviews, how often, application)
D-4	When was the last ROMA training conducted for staff and board, who conducted the training, and who attended the training?
D-5	Does anyone associated with the CSBG program currently need ROMA training?

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

D-6	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?

Section E: Community Needs Assessment

E-1	How often does the agency/department perform a needs assessment to prioritize its programs and ensure that the neediest are being served?

E-2	Describe the eligible entity’s process of conducting the needs assessment. Include how low-income communities and other stakeholders were assured of input.

E-3	If using external sources, what other data informed the community needs assessment (census data, local studies, partner customer satisfaction surveys, etc.)?

E-4a	How does the eligible entity prioritize the needs discovered in the community needs assessment?

E-4b	How did the low-income individuals participate in the establishment of priorities?

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

E-5	What are the top 3 needs in the eligible entity service area?
1	
2	
3	

E-6	What service gaps did the eligible entity encounter in the service area in the last needs assessment?

E-7	Does the eligible entity have programs that address all the needs identified? If not, are there programs to which the eligible entity can refer clients?

E-8	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?

Section F: Client Eligibility

F-1	Describe the process to determine income eligibility to ensure clients served through CSBG have household incomes at or below 125% FPL.

F-2	How is CSBG eligibility documented?
	Client self-certification - only if they have no income or are self-employed
	Income documentation

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

F-3	Describe the process to notify clients who are denied services because of income eligibility or other reasons.

F-4	Is there a grievance process for those denied?	YES	NO
------------	--	-----	----

F-5	What is the appeal process available to clients who are declined services? How are they informed of their right to appeal?

F-6	Has the eligible entity received any grievances regarding CSBG use or distribution in the past 3 years?	YES	NO
------------	---	-----	----

F-7	Does the eligible entity maintain nondiscrimination policies for clients served? (Please provide the policies for review)	YES	NO
------------	---	-----	----

F-8	Describe any nondiscrimination training offered by the eligible entity in the last three years.

F-9	Has the eligible entity received any discrimination complaints or allegations from clients in the last three years?	YES	NO
------------	---	-----	----

F-10	What is the process for responding to allegations of discrimination?

F-11	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

Section G: Client File Review

G-1	Client File #1
	Intake application
	Household size
	Household income verification (At or below 125% FPL)
	Type of assistance provided
	Dates of service
	Services received – Included beginning in April 2016 via ADRC (Aging Disability Resource Center) forms
	Follow-up information – follow up information is stored in another location
	Review of service and its impact toward the family
	Affidavit of Legal Residency – n/a

G-2	Client File #2
	Intake application
	Household size
	Household income verification (At or below 125% FPL)
	Type of assistance provided
	Dates of service
	Services received – Included beginning in April 2016 via ADRC (Aging Disability Resource Center) forms
	Follow-up information
	Review of service and its impact toward the family
	Affidavit of Legal Residency – n/a

G-3	Client File #3
	Intake application
	Household size
	Household income verification (At or below 125% FPL)
	Type of assistance provided
	Dates of service
	Services received – Included beginning in April 2016 via ADRC (Aging Disability Resource Center) forms
	Follow-up information
	Review of service and its impact toward the family
	Affidavit of Legal Residency – n/a

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

Section H: Subawardee Funds

H-1	Total number of subawardees (includes subgrantees and subcontractors)	
-----	---	--

H-2	Describe the process for identifying, selecting and approving subawardees to administer CSBG funds in the eligible entity's service area.
-----	---

--	--

H-3	Describe the process the eligible entity uses to monitor subawardees including frequency, content of monitoring, and follow up.
-----	---

--	--

H-4	Does the grantee have any questions for DOLA staff on what is covered in this section?
-----	--

--	--

Section I: State Program Management

I-1	Is there anything that the state office can do to improve the support they provide to the eligible entity?
-----	--

--	--

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

I-2	Are there specific resources for the eligible entity that would be helpful around financial compliance, the organizational standards, reporting, tripartite board, or program activities that would be helpful?

I-3	What is eligible entities' experience with the online systems that are used for payment requests, the Organizational Standards, and the Annual Report?

I-4	What additional training to technical assistance would be helpful to your staff, board or subawardees?

Section J: Final Questions

J-1	How do you think your organization is doing to move people out of poverty?

J-2	How can your organization back this up?

Colorado Program Monitoring Form

J-3	What is your agency/department/community theory of change?

J-4	Does the grantee have any final questions for DOLA staff that were not covered previously?

Maine Risk Assessment

Assessment Completed by:	
Date Assessment Completed:	

Purpose: The CSBG Pre-Monitoring Assessment is a point in time instrument utilized by the CSBG Field Operations Team to prioritize the current years monitoring schedule and identify the instructions: Pre-Monitoring Assessments should be completed for all agencies. If an agency has received an Onsite Review in the past 1-2 years, this assessment should be used to Scoring: Point assignments for each section are indicated under the Section title.

SUB-RECIPIENT INFORMATION

Agency Name: _____

Agency Type: Public Private

Contract # _____

Date of last On-site _____

If the agency received monitoring findings from prior visit; have they been rectified? Yes No

Is an onsite visit required per Public Law 105-285 Section678B? Monitoring of Eligible Yes No

DHHS Audit Risk Assessment

AGENCY RISK AS ASSESSED BY MAINE DHHS AUDIT	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency has not been designated as "high risk" by Maine DHHS Audit Division?	0		
Agency has been designated as "high risk" by Maine DHHS Audit Division? Please Comment.	3		
AGENCY SCORE:		0	

PROGRAMMATIC RISK ASSESSMENT

AGENCY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/CEO EXPERIENCE ADMINISTERING THIS PROGRAM	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency Executive Director/CEO has administered program over 5 years.	1	0	
Agency Executive Director/CEO has administered program 2-5 years	3	0	
Agency Executive Director/CEO has administered program under 2 years and/or high turnover of this position and/or key	5	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:		0	

AGENCY EXPERIENCED STAFF VACANCIES WITHIN THE LAST 6 MONTHS	YES	NO	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Senior Management Staff			1	0	
Program Manager Staff			1	0	
Frontline Staff			1	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:				0	

CONTRACT DELIVERABLES	YES	NO	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency meets contract deliverables as written, on time, and without errors.			0	0	
Agency meets contract deliverables as written, mostly on time, and with little errors.			3	0	
Agency has failed to meet contract deliverables as written, always late, with errors			5	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:				0	

BOARD OF DIRECTORS	YES	NO	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
1/3 MUST be low income individuals or their representatives 1/3 public officials or their designees, the remaining shall be private sector individuals (business, industry, education, labor and religious organization)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-	-	
Minimum of 15 to Maximum of 30 members	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-	-	
Agency's Board of Directors meet at minimum of 6 times per year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-	-	
Does the Agency send in board agendas and ratified meeting minutes within a timely manner.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-	-	
Does the Agency's Board of Director Meetings have quorum in attendance at the majority of meetings.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	-	-	
Total of No's from above					
All of the board requirements have been met.			0	0	
Most of the board requirements have been met.			2	0	
Half of the board requirements have been met.			3	0	
Very few of the board requirements have been met.			4	0	
None of the board requirements have been met.			5	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:				0	

Board Monitoring reports are submitted each quarter.			0	0	
Board Monitoring reports are NOT submitted each quarter			5	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:				0	

3. ORGANIZATIONAL STANDARDS	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency has met 100% of the Organizational Standards	0	0	
Agency has met 90-99% of the Organizational Standards	2	0	
Agency has met 80-89% of the Organizational Standards	3	0	
Agency has met 70-79% of the Organizational Standards	4	0	
Agency has met less than 70% of the Organizational Standards.	5	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:		0	

Agency submitted Organizational Standard Self-Assessment on time according to contract requirement and/or extension			0	0	
Agency submitted Organizational Standard Self-Assessment within 5 days after contract requirement and/or extension			3	0	
Agency submitted Organizational Standard Self-Assessment later than 5 days after contract requirement and/or extension			5	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:				0	

The agency does not have any improvement plan in place.			0	0	
Agency has a Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP) in place.			1	0	
Agency has a Technical Assistance Plan (TAP) in place.			3	0	
Agency has a Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) in place.			5	0	
AGENCY SUB SCORE:				0	

4. ANNUAL REPORT - CLIENTS SERVED	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
No change, or increase in clients served.	0	0	
Under 10% reduction in clients served.	2	0	

Maine Risk Assessment

10% - 20% reduction in clients served		3	0	
Over 20% reduction in clients served.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
5. ANNUAL REPORT - NATIONAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency met 91-100% of its NPIs.		1	0	
Agency met 81-90% of its NPIs.		2	0	
Agency met 71-80% of its NPIs.		3	0	
Agency met 61-70% of its NPIs.		4	0	
Agency met 60% or less of its NPIs.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	Comments
Agency submitted annual report on time according to contract requirement and/or extension provided?		0	0	
Agency submitted annual report within 5 days after contract requirement and/or extension provided?		3	0	
Agency submitted annual report later than 5 days after contract requirement and/or extension provided?		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
ROMA TRAINED PROFESSIONAL ON STAFF				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency has one or more ROMA Trained Professionals on staff		0	0	
Agency has no ROMA Trained Professionals on staff		3	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
7. OTHER PROGRAMMATIC MONITORING				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency has NO findings from any monitoring conducting by other programs.		0	0	
Agency has one finding from a monitoring conducting by another program.		1	0	
Agency has two findings from monitoring conducting by other programs.		2	0	
Agency has three findings from monitoring conducting by other programs.		3	0	
Agency has four findings from monitoring conducting by other programs.		4	0	
Agency has five or more findings from monitoring conducting by other programs.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
OTHER PROGRAMMATIC CONCERNS				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency has not received whistle blower complaint in the past 12 months.		0	0	
Agency has received whistle blower complaint in the past 12 months.		1	0	
Agency has not been in the press/media in the past 12 months in an unfavorable manner.		0	0	
Agency has been in the press/media in the past 12 months in an unfavorable manner. Provide comment.		3	0	
Agency participates in Economic Opportunity Council (EOC) Meetings on a regular basis.		0	0	
Agency does not participate in Economic Opportunity Council (EOC) Meetings on a regular basis.		2	0	
Agency has established eligibility process that meets CSBG income guidelines		0	0	
Agency does not have an established eligibility process that meets CSBG income guidelines		3	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
		AGENCY SCORE:	0	
FISCAL RISK ASSESSMENT				
8. AGENCY FISCAL DIRECTOR EXPERIENCE ADMINISTERING THIS PROGRAM				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency Fiscal Director has administered agency's finances over 5 years.		1	0	
Agency Fiscal Director has administered agency's finances 2-5 years.		3	0	
Agency Fiscal Director has administered agency's finances under 2 years and/or high turnover of this position		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
10. BUDGET				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Budget has not been modified.		0	0	
Budget has been modified 1 - 2 times.		3	0	
Budget has been modified 3+ times.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	Comments
Less than 25% of budget is funded by CSBG.		0	0	
25-50% of the agency's overall budget is funded by CSBG.		2	0	
50-75% of the agency's overall budget is funded by CSBG.		3	0	
Over 75% of the agency's overall budget is funded by CSBG.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
11. QUARTERLY/MONTHLY EXPENDITURE REPORTS				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Reports submission is always timely and without errors.		0	0	
Report submission is mostly timely and without errors.		2	0	
Report submission is sometimes timely and without errors.		3	0	
Report submission rarely timely and without errors.		4	0	
Report submission is never timely and without errors.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	
12. SINGLE AUDIT				
		Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Single audit with NO identified required/suggested adjustments.		0	0	
Single audit with one identified required/suggested adjustment.		1	0	
Single audit with two to three identified required/suggested adjustments.		3	0	
Single audit with over three identified required/suggested adjustments.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	Comments
Single audit with NO identified material weaknesses.		0	0	
Single audit with one identified material weakness.		2	0	
Single audit with two to three identified material weaknesses.		4	0	
Single audit with over three identified material weaknesses.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	Comments
Single audit with NO identified significant deficiencies.		0	0	
Single audit with one identified significant deficiency.		2	0	
Single audit with two to three identified significant deficiencies.		4	0	
Single audit with over three identified significant deficiencies.		5	0	
		AGENCY SUB SCORE:	0	

Maine Risk Assessment

12. OTHER FISCAL AREAS OF CONCERN	YES	NO	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
When compared to the prior year, the agency's Total Operating Budget remained the same or increased.			0	0	
When compared to the prior year, are there any reductions in the agency's Total Operating Budget exceeding 25%?			1	0	
Agency spent full CSBG Contract Award			0	0	
Agency has not spent full CSBG Contract Award, returned unexpended funds.			1	0	
Agency's spending pattern has not changed noticeably.			0	0	
Agency's spending pattern has changed noticeably. (Under/Overspent from prior year by 25%)			1	0	
Agency complies with 2 C.F.R. Part 200 audit reports and management letters.			0	0	
Agency has not complied with 2 C.F.R. Part 200 audit reports and management letters.			3	0	
			<i>AGENCY SUB SCORE:</i>	0	
13. PENDING LITIGATION	YES	NO	Possible Score	Actual Score	Comments
Agency is not facing pending litigation.			0	0	
Agency is facing pending litigation.			5	0	
			<i>AGENCY SUB SCORE:</i>	0	
			AGENCY SCORE:	0	
DHHS AUDIT RISK ASSESSMENT				0	
PROGRAMMATIC TOTAL AGENCY SCORE:				0	
FISCAL TOTAL AGENCY SCORE:				0	

SCORING INFORMATION

Total Maximum Score is 116; Total Minimum Score is 3; Midpoint is 58

3-39 is low risk; 40 to 79 is medium risk; 80 and above is high risk

A score of 50 or above could result in an onsite visit

DHHS RISK	0	Level 1
	3	Level 2
PROGRAMMATIC	2 to 20	Level 1
	21 to 43	Level 2
	44 to 67	Level 3
FISCAL	1 to 16	Level 1
	17 to 32	Level 2
	33 to 46	Level 3

ONSITE VISIT RECOMMENDATION				
Based on the results of the ANNUAL INTERNAL SUBGREGIPIENT RISK ASSESSMENT, does the	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes, please provide a brief narrative explanation:				
If the agency has been designated as "high risk" by Maine DHHS Audit Division but has scored below the 8 point threshold recommending an On-Site, provide a justification as to why an On-Site is not needed.				

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool



Wisconsin Department of Children and Families

Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Monitoring Tool for **[AGENCY NAME]**

[DATES] (in-person and virtual via Zoom)

General Information	
Number of employees	
Revenue for current year (anticipated)	\$
CSBG allocation for current year	\$
Counties served	
List and location of program sites	
Location(s) for monitoring visit	
Driving, parking, and/or arrival instructions	

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Monitoring Process Checklist

Task	Target Date for Completion	Date Completed
Pre-Monitoring Tasks		
Dates are selected for the agency's onsite review		
Pre-Monitoring Materials Request Memo sent to agency executive director including Board Roster Form to be returned within one week		
Board Roster Form returned by agency		
Board Surveys sent out and requested to be returned within two weeks. (DCF may not conduct a board survey for every monitoring visit.)		
Board Surveys returned by the majority of members		
Materials listed in the Pre-Monitoring Materials Request Memo returned by the agency		
Pre-Monitoring Document Checklist completed		
Contract Compliance Checklist completed		
Pre-Monitoring Desk Review completed		
Analysis of Board Survey Results completed		
Monitoring Visit Schedule finalized and confirmed with agency		
Monitoring Tasks		
Onsite Monitoring Visit completed		
If not done while onsite, Exit Interview scheduled/completed (DCF may elect to complete this telephonically after the onsite visit)		
Post-Monitoring Tasks		
CSBG Organizational Standards Assessment completed (at end of monitoring tool)		
Monitoring Report completed and sent to the agency within 30 days of the completed exit interview		
Send Monitoring Report to DCF Auditors (DCFAuditors@wisconsin.gov) so the agency's risk assessment can be updated		
Monitoring Report signed by board chair and executive director received from agency within 60 days of report		
Agency's Corrective Action Plan (if applicable) received by DCF within 60 days of report date		
DCF acceptance and/or response to the Corrective Action Plan sent to agency within 30 days of receipt		
Schedule Corrective Action Plan due dates/timelines and required follow-ups (if applicable)		

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Pre-Monitoring Document Checklist

Document Type	To Be Provided By	Received?	Follow-up Notes
Civil Rights Compliance Letter of Assurance (CRC LOA)	Equal Opportunity Specialist: Beverly.Jenkins@wisconsin.gov	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Affirmative Action Plan (not required if less than 50 employees)	Finance Bureau Contract Specialist: CraigT.Jeranek@wisconsin.gov	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Financial Statements/Audits for last 3 years	CSBG Contract Manager to pull from PATS	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Monitoring Tool (blank)	CSBG Contract Manager to send to agency with Pre-Monitoring Memo	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Bylaws	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Personnel policies	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Board orientation manual (including COI policy)	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Agency organizational chart	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Financial policies/procedures	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Strategic Plan	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Succession Plan	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
List of entities that the agency subcontracts with, if applicable	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Schedule of current year's board meetings	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
List of other federal programs that the agencies provides services (HUD, Head Start, etc.)	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Board meeting minutes up-to-date	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
CSBG Funded Programs form	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
List of CSBG funded positions	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Board Roster form	Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Board Survey mailed/emailed to Board roster	CSBG Contract Manager	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IRS Form 990	CSBG Contract Manager to pull from Guidestar website (requires a free account)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Current cost allocation plan	CSBG Contractor (should be submitted through SPARC)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Current Federal Indirect Cost Rate Agreement	CSBG Contractor (should be submitted through SPARC)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Worksheet for Pre-Monitoring Fiscal Review	CSBG Contract Manager	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Contract Compliance Checklist and Pre-Monitoring Desk Review (in tool)	CSBG Contract Manager	<input type="checkbox"/>	
List of materials to review on-site (in tool – provide at opening meeting)	CSBG Contract Manager	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Contract Compliance Checklist

Requirement	Compliant?	Notes
Annual Single Audit Reporting Package submitted to DCFAuditors@wisconsin.gov within 180 days of the end of the Contractor's fiscal year (<i>Org. Standard 8.1, 8.2 and DCF CSBG Contract</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<i>Were there any audit findings, deficiencies, and/or weaknesses? If so, have all issues been addressed?</i>
Affirmative Action Plan submitted to DOA within 15 working days of signing the contract, unless exceptions noted (<i>DCF CSBG Contract</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<i>E.g., not required if less than 50 employees</i>
Civil Rights Compliance Letter of Assurance (CRC LOA) submitted to DCF Civil Rights Unit within 15 working days of signing the contract, and updated in the event of changes to key personnel identified in the LOA (<i>DCF CSBG Contract</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Board minutes (<i>DCF CSBG Contract</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<i>Any months missing through current? Any committee meeting minutes needed?</i>
CSBG Annual Report, Modules 2,3, and 4 (<i>Org. Standard 9.4</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Cost Allocation Plan submitted through the SPARC online portal within 30 days of signing the contract (and resubmitted when material updates are made to the plan) (<i>DCF CSBG Contract</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Federal Indirect Cost Rate Agreement submitted through the SPARC online portal within 30 days of signing the contract (and resubmitted when new agreements are made) (<i>DCF CSBG Contract</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Agency's corporate status up-to-date on the WI Department of Financial Institutions website	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Pre-Monitoring Desk Review

<i>Item Reviewed</i>	<i>Review Notes</i>
Board roster	<p>Tripartite structure (<i>Org. Standard 5.1</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Number of board members: _____ (<i>DCF Contract and WI State Statute stipulate 15 to 51</i>)</p> <p>Number required by agency's bylaws: _____</p> <p>Is the number of members in alignment with bylaws? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Have any seats been open for longer than allowed by bylaws? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Notes:</p>
Bylaws	<p>Date of last review by the board: _____</p> <p>Date of last review by an attorney: _____</p> <p>Were the bylaws reviewed by an attorney within the last five years? (<i>Org. Standard 5.3</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Meeting frequency: _____</p> <p>Number for a quorum: _____</p> <p>Do the bylaws define the following?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Tripartite board composition (<i>CSBG Act, Org. Standard 5.1</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Democratic selection of low-income board members (<i>CSBG Act, Org. Standard 5.2</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> How board members are recruited and seated <input type="checkbox"/> How the board elects public official board members vs. setting aside seats for specific public positions (<i>best practice</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Public officials or their representatives serve only while the official is in office or his/her appointed position (<i>OCS IM 82 recommendation</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Meeting frequency and board quorum defined <input type="checkbox"/> Number of board members defined <input type="checkbox"/> Procedures for removing board members for nonattendance <input type="checkbox"/> Procedure for filling vacancies <input type="checkbox"/> Board responsibilities <input type="checkbox"/> Committees and their authority <input type="checkbox"/> Separate finance and personnel committees (<i>best practice</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Officers and their duties <p>Notes:</p>

GOVERNANCE

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

GOVERNANCE	Board minutes and schedule of meetings	<p>How many times has the board met in the past 12 months? _____</p> <p>How many in the past 12 months had a quorum? _____</p> <p>Did the frequency of board meetings meet the frequency called for in the bylaws? (<i>Org. Standard 5.5</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Is attendance of board members compliant with bylaws? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>
	Board manual & board member conflicts of interest	<p>Is the board orientation manual aligned with the agency's bylaws and CSBG requirements? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Is there a policy in place requiring board members to sign a Conflict of Interest agreement at least every two years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (<i>Org. Standard 5.6</i>)</p> <p>Has evidence been provided by the agency that all board members have signed a Conflict of Interest form within the last two years (either through the pre-monitoring or previous desk auditing processes)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>If "No," Contract Manager will request to see these signed forms during the onsite visit – add to list of materials requested at opening meeting.</i></p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>

	Item Reviewed	Review Notes
HUMAN RESOURCES	Org chart	<i>Notes and/or follow-up questions for human resources manager:</i>
	Succession plan	<p>Does the succession plan have the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Approved by the board <input type="checkbox"/> Covers an emergency/short-term absence of three months or less <input type="checkbox"/> Outlines the process for filling a permanent vacancy <p>(<i>Org. Standard 4.5</i>)</p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

HUMAN RESOURCES	Personnel policies & staff conflicts of interest	<p>Date of last review by the board: _____</p> <p>Date of last review by an attorney: _____</p> <p>Were the personnel policies reviewed by an attorney and approved by the board within the last five years? (Org. Standard 7.1) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Do the personnel policies include the following?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Explanation of fringe benefits, including leave and holidays <input type="checkbox"/> Written discipline and termination policies <input type="checkbox"/> Whistleblower/anti-retaliation (Org. Standard 7.7) <input type="checkbox"/> Travel policy <input type="checkbox"/> Non-discrimination statement <input type="checkbox"/> Conflict of interest statement for staff <input type="checkbox"/> Nepotism statement <input type="checkbox"/> Definition of work day, work week, and hours <input type="checkbox"/> Overtime rules – overtime must have proper approval <input type="checkbox"/> Policy requiring all staff driving on company business to have a current driver’s license <p>Is there a policy in place requiring staff to sign the conflict of interest statement at least every two years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">If no, what is the process for having staff sign conflict of interest statements, and how often is it completed?</p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>
------------------------	---	---

FISCAL	IRS Form 990	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; background-color: #cccccc;"> <tr> <th style="width: 30%;"><i>Item Reviewed</i></th> <th><i>Review Notes</i></th> </tr> </table> <p>Is the agency up-to-date in terms of filing the Form 990? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>What year is the most recent Form 990 for? _____</p> <p>Do board minutes record board approval of the Form 990? (Org. Standard 8.6) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Does the agency engage in lobbying activities? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Are any legislative lobbying activities noted on the Form 990? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">If yes, did the agency elect 501(h) and/or provide specific information? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Do pages 1 and 9-12 of the Form 990 approximately match the financial statement in the agency’s corresponding audit? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>	<i>Item Reviewed</i>	<i>Review Notes</i>
<i>Item Reviewed</i>	<i>Review Notes</i>			

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

	<i>Item Reviewed</i>	<i>Review Notes</i>																
FISCAL	Audits & financial statements	<p>This table to be populated using the <i>Worksheet for Pre-Monitoring Fiscal Review</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Last 3 Years:</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20__</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20__</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20__</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Current ratio</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Available cash on hand</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grants receivable as a % of annual grant revenue</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>	Last 3 Years:	20__	20__	20__	Current ratio				Available cash on hand				Grants receivable as a % of annual grant revenue			
	Last 3 Years:	20__	20__	20__														
	Current ratio																	
Available cash on hand																		
Grants receivable as a % of annual grant revenue																		
General ledger & expense reports from 2 non-consecutive months	<p>Are the expense reports supported by the general ledger? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Are CSBG costs allowable? (<i>CSBG Act and OMB Circular</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Are any costs classified as “miscellaneous” or not defined? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Four specific cost items selected for review during the onsite visit:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;">Item #</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Date</th> <th style="width: 65%;">Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="font-size: small;"><i>Contract Manager will request to see the supporting documentation for these cost items during the onsite visit – add to list of materials requested at opening meeting.</i></p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>	Item #	Date	Description														
Item #	Date	Description																
Fiscal policies, procedures, & cost allocation plan	<p>Date the agency’s fiscal policies & procedures were last reviewed by staff: _____</p> <p>had changes approved by board: _____</p> <p>Was this review within the past two years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>(Org. Standard 8.10)</i></p> <p>Date the agency’s written procurement policy was last reviewed by the board: _____</p> <p>Was this review in the last five years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>(Org. Standard 8.11)</i></p> <p>Does the agency have an indirect cost rate or use direct cost allocation?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Indirect cost rate</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Direct cost allocation</p> <p style="font-size: small;">If no approved indirect cost rate is in place, does the agency have a written cost allocation plan? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>(Org. Standard 8.12)</i></p> <p>Does the general ledger show evidence that the agency follows its cost allocation plan? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Are internal controls outlined in the agency’s fiscal policies? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>																	

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

CSBG subcontracts	<p>Does the agency subcontract any CSBG funds? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, did the agency have prior written approval from DCF as required by the DCF contract? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Notes:</p>
------------------------------	--

<i>Item Reviewed</i>	<i>Review Notes</i>
Strategic plan	<p>Does the agency have a current strategic plan? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (Org. Standard 6.1)</p> <p>What years does the most recent strategic plan cover? _____</p> <p>Does the plan address reduction of poverty, revitalization of low-income communities, and/or empowerment of people with low incomes to become more self-sufficient? (Org. Standard 6.2) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Does the plan contain family, agency, and/or community goals? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (Org. Standard 6.3)</p> <p>Notes:</p>
List of CSBG funded programs	<p>Programs selected for participant file and supporting documentation review:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 2. 3. 4. <p>Does the agency participate in the Skills Enhancement Program (SEP)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><i>The Contract Manager will review a sampling of participant files from 1-4 programs while onsite. If the agency participates in the SEP, the Contract Manager will include monitoring for that program during the visit.</i></p> <p>Notes:</p>
List of federal programs	<p>Notes:</p>

PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT	List of CSBG funded positions	Positions selected for HR file review: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1.2.3. <p><i>The Contract Manager will review the HR files for 3 CSBG-funded staff members while onsite.</i></p> <p><i>Notes:</i></p>
	CSBG Annual Report Modules 2, 3, and 4	Is the agency compliant/current with its CSBG Annual Report submissions (Modules 2, 3, 4)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Most recent Annual Report year completed: _____ Documentation to support origins of data reported in the Modules to request when onsite (if any): <ol style="list-style-type: none">1.2.3.4. <p><i>Notes:</i></p>

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Analysis of Board Survey Results

Source Data	
Current Number of Board Members	
Number of Surveys Emailed	
Number of Surveys Mailed	
Requested Return Date	Click or tap to enter a date.
Number of Surveys Returned	
Response Rate %	

Analysis	
Positives	<i>Notes:</i>
Negatives	<i>Notes:</i>
T/TA Requests	<i>Notes:</i>
Additional Comments	<i>Notes:</i>

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Draft Monitoring Visit Schedule

Agency Name <i>Dates of Monitoring Visit</i>		
Section	Activity	Time
Day 1		
1	Introductions with executive director and management team; tour of facility	8:30 to 9:30 am
2	Governance (board materials) review	9:30 to 10:00 am
3	Interview with board chair, other board members, and executive director (as available)	10:00 to 11:00 am
4	HR file reviews for CSBG funded positions selected by Contract Manager	11:00 to 11:30 am
5	Interview with the HR manager	11:30 am to 12:00 pm
<i>Lunch Break – 12:00 to 1:00 pm</i>		
6	Fiscal review of specific items and supporting documentation from the general ledger selected by Contract Manager	1:00 to 1:45 pm
7	Interview with the chief financial officer and follow-up from pre-monitoring review of fiscal materials	1:45 to 2:30 pm
8	CSBG Annual Report data review and process discussion	2:30 to 3:00 pm
9	CSBG-specific interviews on program evaluation, ROMA, and Community Needs Assessments	3:00 to 3:45 pm
	Wrap-up for Day 1	3:45 to 4:00 pm
Day 2		
11	Participant file reviews from specific CSBG funded programs selected by Contract Manager (will include Skills Enhancement Program, if applicable)	8:30 to 10:00 am
10	Management interview with executive director and program managers (as available)	10:00 to 10:45 am
12	Exit interview prep and site visit wrap-up with executive director and any key staff (as requested by Contract Manager)	10:45 to 11:15 am
13	Exit Interview (onsite or TBD via telephone, at Contract Manager's discretion)	11:15 am to 12:00 pm

**If the agency has multiple sites, the Contract Manager may work with the agency to schedule time to tour the other locations, during the afternoon before Day 1 or before the exit interview on Day 2.*

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

1. Introductions with Executive Director and Management Team

The meeting agenda will include: introductions, tour of facility, an overview of the guiding principles for CSBG monitoring (mutual respect, open communication, joint problem solving), a review of the schedule for the monitoring visit, and a summary of the contract manager's initial impressions from the pre-monitoring desk review.

The contract manager will also request materials at this time for onsite review:

List of Materials to Review Onsite	
Participant files from 1-4 CSBG-funded programs (include SEP, if agency participates)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
HR files for 3 CSBG-funded staff members	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Board manual, if not previously provided	<input type="checkbox"/>
Board members' signed Conflict of Interest (COI) agreements	<input type="checkbox"/>
Expense report and supporting documentation for 4 specific cost items selected during pre-monitoring fiscal review of the general ledger	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
CSBG Annual Report origins of data supporting documentation	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Misc. review requests or materials not provided during pre-monitoring	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

2. Governance (Board Materials) Review

- **Board orientation manual/materials** - *If this could not be sent by email for the pre-monitoring desk review, the contract manager will review this onsite and complete the questions on page 4 at this time.*
- **Evidence that board members receive copies of the bylaws every two years is observed by the contract manager (Org. Standard 5.4)** Yes No
- **Copies of signed conflict of interest statements in board member files within the past two years are observed by the contract manager (Org. Standard 5.6)** Yes No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

3. Governance Interview with the Board Chair (other Board Members who are available and Executive Director optional)

Interview Attendees	
Board Chair	
Board Members	
Executive Director	
Others from Agency	
DCF Representative(s)	

- a. **Have there been any updates to the list of board vacancies provided on the Board Roster during the pre-monitoring phase?** Yes No

Notes:

- b. **Is a structured orientation provided for new board members within 6 months of being seated?** *(Org. Standard 5.7)* Yes No

- c. **Does the board have a fiscal expert?** Yes No

If yes, what are his or her qualifications?

- d. **Does the board include an attorney?** Yes No

If no, how does the agency obtain legal advice?

- e. **Who leads the board meetings?**

- f. **How is board training provided?** *(Org. Standard 5.8 states that board members should have received some type of training within the last two years.)*

- g. **When was the last time the board received ROMA training?**

- **Who provided the most recent ROMA training?**

- h. **Are the elected or appointed officials active?** Yes No

- **If a public official sends a representative, is there a process set through which the representative keeps the official abreast of the agency's work?** Yes No

- i. **Do board members sign a conflict of interest agreement and does the board review this document every two to three years?** Yes No

- j. **Is there a democratic process for selecting representatives of the low-income community?**

Yes No

- **If yes, what is the process?**

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

k. **What policies are in place to ensure that low-income individuals, community organizations, religious organizations, or representatives of low-income organizations can petition for representation on the board?**

l. **In general, is the ethnic/racial/cultural make-up of the board representative of the community, including the low-income communities the agency serves?** Yes No

Notes:

m. **Do any board members who represent a particular neighborhood or low-income area reside in the area they represent?** Yes No

n. **Has the board adopted a code of ethics or code of conduct?** Yes No

o. **Is the mission statement in the agency's Board Manual?** Yes No

- **Does the mission statement address poverty?** Yes No
- **Has the board reviewed it in the past five years?** Yes No
- **Has the board reviewed all programs and services in the past five years to determine if they are in alignment with the mission? (Org. Standard 4.1)** Yes No

p. **Does the board approve the agency's annual budget? (Org. Standard 8.9)** Yes No

q. **Does the board receive financial reports at each meeting including Revenue and Expenditures reports that compare budget to actual for each program, and a balance sheet/statement of financial position? (Org. Standard 8.7)** Yes No

If yes, who presents the financial reports?

Notes:

r. **Does the Board have a Finance Committee?** Yes No

- **If yes, how many members?**
- **How often does it meet?**
- **Are minutes of the Finance Committee meetings provided to the board?**
 Yes No
- **What items are routinely covered by the Finance Committee?**

s. **Does the board have committees structured to fully address its fiduciary and governance responsibilities?** Yes No

t. **From your perspective, what are the agency's strengths and challenges?**

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

- u. **What are the current board's strengths and challenges?**

- v. **How does the agency's board fulfill its role of setting annual and long range goals?**

- w. **Does the board receive briefings on and/or copies of agency reviews or evaluations produced for governmental or other funding sources?** Yes No
- x. **What are the most significant contributions that board members have made to the success of the agency in the past three years?**

- y. **What issues are the standing committees currently addressing?**

- z. **What information do you receive about program activities, performance, and service outcomes? What format/forums and how often? (*Org. Standard 5.9*)**

- aa. **Does the board undertake any type of self-evaluation?** Yes No
 - **If yes, how and when?**

- bb. **What is the process for identifying and recruiting new board members?**

- cc. **How effectively has the board been able to maintain its tripartite balance, and what challenges does the board face maintaining that balance?**

- dd. **When did the board last revise its bylaws?**
 - **Are revisions needed to the current bylaws, and if so, is there a process and timeline to accomplish that in the current year?**

- ee. **What is the executive director evaluation process and what is the board's role in it?**

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

- ff. **Is the evaluation process performed annually?** (*Org. Standard 7.4*) Yes No
- gg. **Does the board review and approve the executive director's compensation as part of this process?** (*Org. Standard 7.5*) Yes No
- **If no, what is the process and frequency for reviewing the executive director's compensation?**
- hh. **Is there any training or technical assistance that you feel would benefit the board?**

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

4. Human Resources Onsite Material Review

HR files for 3 CSBG-funded staff members			
Staff Name:			
% of salary CSBG-funded			
Job description in file? (Org. Standard 7.3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Job description updated in last 5 years? (Org. Standard 7.3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
COIs and signed staff agreements in file?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Regular written performance evaluations are observed (Org. Standard 7.6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Notes:

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

5. Interview with Human Resources Manager

Interview Attendees	
Human Resources Manager	
Executive Director	
Others from Agency	
DCF Representative(s)	

- a. Follow-up questions on the succession plan as noted on page 5.
- b. Follow-up questions on the organizational chart as noted on page 5.
- c. In addition to salary, what benefits does the agency provide staff?
- d. Have staff funded by CSBG (25% or more) participated in any trainings or conferences in the past two years? Yes No
 - Do CSBG funded staff generally attend WISCAP events? Yes No
- e. Have there been any vacancies in CSBG funded positions in the past 12 months? Yes No
 - If yes, what position(s) and for how long?
- f. How do staff members make training needs known to the agency?
 - Is there any training or technical assistance that would be beneficial to staff or volunteer development in helping them achieve successful outcomes for participants and the agency?
- g. How are ethical standards (for staff conduct, including interaction with participants) communicated and enforced?
- h. What challenges (if any) do you encounter in recruiting and retaining qualified staff?
- i. Has the agency conducted salary surveys within the past two years? Yes No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

- **If yes, does the agency:**
 - conduct these surveys independently
 - use a consultant/broker
 - use WISCAP's salary survey
- j. **Has the agency been able to support COLA increases for all employees over the last three years?** Yes No
 - **If no, why not?**
- k. **Does the agency expect COLA increases this year?** Yes No
- l. **Are merit-based increases or bonuses available to employees?** Yes No
- m. **Are any relatives of current senior management or board members employed by the agency?**
 Yes No
 - **If yes, what are the relationships?**
 - **If yes, were conflict of interest policies followed?** Yes No
- n. **Have any employees received assistance under any of the agency's programs in the last three years?** Yes No
 - **If yes, were conflict of interest policies followed?** Yes No
- o. **Are all employees classified as either exempt or nonexempt?** Yes No
- p. **Is employee information kept in a locked, secure, non-public location?** Yes No
- q. **How does the agency ensure that personnel policies are available to all staff and that staff are notified of changes?** (*Org. Standard 7.2*)
- r. **Do all staff participate in a new employee orientation within 60 days of hire?** (*Org. Standard 7.8*) Yes No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

6. Fiscal Onsite Review of Specific Cost Items

Cost item	Date of expense	Amount	Documentation observed by the contract manager

Notes:

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

7. Interview with the Chief Financial Officer

Interview Attendees	
CFO or equivalent	
Executive Director	
Others from Agency	
DCF Representative(s)	

- a. Does the organization engage in any lobbying, which would include having staff or volunteers meet with, call, or email elected officials regarding state or federal legislation, including appropriations, or referenda or ballot initiatives? (*Definition of lobbying from CAPLAW's "Lobbying Q & A", Spring 2011*) Yes No
- If yes, what policies and procedures are in place to ensure that only non-federal unrestricted funds are used to pay for lobbying expenses?
- b. What policies and procedures does the organization have in place to ensure that no CSBG programs are affiliated or identified with, or use any CSBG funds to support the following:
- Partisan or non-partisan political activity, or any political activity associated with a candidate, or contending faction or group, in an election for public or party office;
 - Voter registration activities; or
 - Providing voters with transportation to the polls or similar assistance? (*CSBG Act*)
- c. Are procedures in place to ensure that CSBG funds are not used to purchase, construct, or improve (other than low-cost residential weatherization or other energy-related home repairs) any building or facility, unless a waiver is received from OCS? (*CSBG Act*) Yes No
- d. Has an agency-wide risk assessment been completed within the past two years? (*Org. Standard 4.6*) Yes No
- If yes, date of last risk assessment:
 - How was the risk assessment completed (self, consultant, other)?
 - If yes, was it reviewed by the board? Yes No
- e. Does the agency have a written record retention policy in place? (*Org. Standard 8.13*) Yes No
- If yes, what is the policy?

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

- f. Are all agency computers password protected? Yes No
- What back-up does the agency have for data and electronic systems?
 - Is there a disaster recovery plan in place? Yes No
- g. Describe the payroll process, including who is involved in the approval process, and which pieces, if any, are outsourced.
- h. Bank Reconciliations: describe the reconciliation process.
- Does one person perform the reconciliation and another sign off on it? Yes No
 - Does the agency perform these at least quarterly? Yes No
- i. Has the agency solicited bids for its audit within the past five years? (*Org. Standard 8.5*)
 Yes No
- j. Are all required filings and payments related to payroll withholdings completed on time? (*Org. Standard 8.8*) Yes No
- k. Does the agency's auditor present the audit to the board or a committee of the board? (*Org. Standard 8.3*) Yes No
- l. Does the board formally receive and accept the audit? (*Org. Standard 8.4*) Yes No
- m. Does the finance committee analyze expenditure reports and provide a report to the board?
 Yes No
- n. How are exceeded budgets dealt with (or budgets that are projected to be exceeded)?
- o. How are costs allocated to programs?
- p. How many bank accounts does the agency have?
- q. Who has access to the bank accounts?
- r. Does the finance committee receive regular reports on the status of the accounts? Yes No
- s. Is there a periodic review of financial operation of the agency? Yes No
- t. Does the finance committee play a role in the development of agency fiscal policies? Yes No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

8. Programs and Management Onsite Material Review

The contract manager will discuss the agency's CSBG Annual Report process and how data for Modules 2, 3, and 4 are compiled. The agency will explain any complications in reporting this data from year-to-year, and will walk the contract manager through an example of how specific outcomes are reported for the National Performance Indicators (NPIs). The contract manager may request supporting documentation for specific data from the agency's most recent CSBG Annual Report submission, including origins of data reported in Modules 2, 3, or 4.

Data point	Supporting documentation observed by the contract manager

Notes:

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

9. CSBG-Specific Interviews on Program Evaluation, ROMA, and Community Needs Assessments

Interview Attendees	
CSBG-specific planner, manager or equivalent	
Executive Director	
Others from Agency	
DCF Representative(s)	

- a. Are all agency programs tied to at least one ROMA objective (NPI)? Yes No
- b. Does the agency conduct or make available staff development/training, including ROMA, on an ongoing basis? (*Org. Standard 7.9*) Yes No
- If yes, who provides it and how often is it done?
- c. In addition to service on the board and its committees, how does the agency use volunteers to support goals and outcomes in CSBG programs?
- d. How is volunteer data tracked for reporting in Module 2? (*Org. Standard 2.4*)
- e. How is board and staff capacity building (training, planning, assessment) tracked for reporting in Module 2?
- f. Does the agency have an agency wide database for tracking participant data? Yes No
- If not, how is the agency able to obtain an unduplicated count of its participants for the CSBG Annual Report?
- g. What participant data does the agency collect and how is it used?
- Does the agency track if participants use multiple services? Yes No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

- Can the agency pull reports on how many participants each individual program served during a specific period? (*Org. Standard 9.1*) Yes No
- h. Does the agency have a system in place to track family, agency, and/or community outcomes? (*Org. Standard 9.2*) Yes No
- i. Does the agency track and compare data from multiple years to see trends in agency success, customer satisfaction, or other areas? Yes No
- j. In the past 12 months, has the agency presented to the governing board for review and action, an analysis of its outcomes and any operational or strategic program adjustments and improvements identified as necessary? (*Org. Standard 9.3*) Yes No
- k. What performance reports does the agency routinely generate?
 - To whom are they provided?
 - How frequently?
- l. What staff are responsible for ensuring accurate and complete collection of CSBG Annual Report data?
- m. Is there any training or technical assistance related to ROMA and program evaluation that would be useful to your agency?
- n. Describe the process of completing the agency's most recent Community Needs Assessment. (*Org. Standard 3.1*)
- o. Does the agency's most recent Community Needs Assessment include: (*Org. Standards 1.2, 2.2, 3.2*)
 - External data (such as Census data) specific to poverty and its prevalence related to gender, age, and race/ethnicity in the agency's service area?
 - Input from low-income community members?
 - Input from the agency's community partners, stakeholders, and other service providers?
- p. Did the most recent Community Needs Assessment include qualitative and quantitative data on your service area? (*Org. Standard 3.3*) Yes No
- q. Was the board involved in the process of designing and reviewing the Community Needs Assessment? Yes No
 - Did the board formally accept the completed Community Needs Assessment? (*Org. Standard 3.5*) Yes No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

r. **Does the Community Needs Assessment include key findings on the causes and conditions of poverty and the needs of the communities that were assessed?** (*Org. Standard 3.4*)

Yes No

s. **What are some examples of programmatic changes made as a result of Community Needs Assessments in recent years?**

t. **Was a Community Action Plan (part of the CSBG application) developed from the Community Needs Assessment?** Yes No

• **If yes, was the Community Action Plan outcome-based, anti-poverty focused, and tied directly to the Community Needs Assessment?** (*Org. Standard 4.2*) Yes No

u. **Does the board receive an annual update on the success of specific strategies included in the Community Action Plan?** (*Org. Standard 4.4*) Yes No

v. **Does the agency have a current strategic plan?** Yes No

• **If yes, when and how was it developed?**

• **How does the agency assess progress on the strategic plan and report this progress to staff and the board?** (*Org Standards 6.1 and 6.5*)

• **How does the agency include customer input/data from low-income collected during the Community Needs Assessment in the strategic planning process?** (*Org. Standard 6.4*)

• **Do the agency's Community Action Plan and Strategic Plan document the continuous use of the full ROMA cycle (assessment, planning, implementation, achievement of results, and evaluation)?** Yes No

• **Does the agency use the services of a ROMA-certified trainer to assist in implementation?** (*Org. Standard 4.3*) Yes No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

10. Management Interview with the Executive Director and Appropriate Program Management Staff

Interview Attendees	
Program Manager(s)	
Executive Director	
Others from Agency	
DCF Representative(s)	

- a. **Beyond board membership, how does the agency include low-income people in its activities?**
(Org. Standard 1.1)
- b. **Does the agency have a system in place to gather customer service satisfaction feedback from program participants?** Yes No
If yes, describe the system:
- **Is the feedback shared with the board of directors?** *(Org. Standard 1.3)* Yes No
- c. **How does the agency communicate its activities and results to the public?** *(Org. Standard 2.3)*
- d. **How does the agency ensure that programs don't discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, or disability as required by the CSBG Act nor do they ban non-citizens solely on the basis of their immigration status unless such exclusion is authorized by another statute?** *(OCS IM 30)*
- e. **Are all agency facilities accessible to persons with disabilities?** Yes No
- f. **What steps has the agency taken to address language barriers that exist for low-income communities in its service area?**

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

- g. Describe what policies and procedures the agency has in place to ensure privacy and confidentiality of participant information.
- h. In the past three years, has the agency received a monitoring visit or review of an agency program funded by another federal or state grant/contract? Yes No
Were any findings or corrective action plans generated as a result? Yes No
- If yes, describe:
- i. Do all CSBG funded programs have participant eligibility criteria in compliance with federal regulations? Yes No
- Is there an agency-wide policy and procedure for income qualification (125% of federal poverty guideline) for participants of CSBG supported programs? Yes No
- j. How does the agency refer single custodial parents to the local child support agency? (*CSBG Act*)
- k. Does the agency require drug testing for any programs? Yes No
- If yes, do 100% of people who test positive receive appropriate AODA referrals? (*CSBG Act*) Yes No
- l. Does the agency have a record retention policy for participant files? Yes No
- m. What are the most significant sources of referrals to the agency's programs?
- n. What agencies are primary or vital partners in identifying and serving agency participants?
- How does the agency work with its partners for specifically identified purposes? (*Org. Standard 2.1*)
 - Do these partners include other anti-poverty organizations in the area? (*Org. Standard 2.1*)
 Yes No
- o. What community supports are important to agency success and how do they contribute to that success? (Be specific: business, religious organizations, academic institutions, etc.)

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

- p. Within the agency's community (or service area), does the agency participate in any networks, councils or other groups that foster communication and collaboration on policy, practice, or service delivery?
- q. What linkages exist between governmental and social services in your agency's service area?
- What is the agency's role in those connections?
- r. What is the agency's referral, coordination of services, and collaborative relationship with the providers of the following services in its area?
- Wisconsin Works
 - Emergency Assistance
 - Job Access Loans
 - Refugee services
 - Head Start

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

11. Participant File Reviews for CSBG-funded Programs

Summary of findings across all reviewed programs to be addressed after all participant files have been reviewed and the case file review worksheets completed. The contract manager should copy the worksheet (following) to accommodate the number of participant files that are reviewed.

Programs Reviewed					
Program Name	Program Description	Program Manager	Questions?	Aligns with CSBG Act Fundable Activities?	# of Files Reviewed
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Skills Enhancement Program				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	

Summary of Results		
Criteria	Met?	Comments
Do the reviewed files indicate that all participants provided services with CSBG funds (and/or SEP funds, as applicable) were eligible (125%/150% FPL)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Is there evidence of adequate tools and standardized procedures for determining and documenting participant eligibility?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Is documentation such as a bill, voucher, and/or copy of the check retained in files for direct financial assistance provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Were case management activities thoroughly documented?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Were participants' goals mutually-agreed to and documented?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Were efforts to achieve goals documented?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Were goals oriented toward self-sufficiency?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Is there evidence that participants were referred to other programs for needs beyond the program's scope?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Is the agency taking appropriate steps to ensure privacy and confidentiality of participant information, such as secure files, confidentiality policies, private consultation space, etc.?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Is there evidence that single custodial parents received child support agency referrals?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Does the agency ensure ongoing eligibility for each program in accordance with program requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	
Did the review of the documentation indicate that the services have helped participants become more self-sufficient?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Varied	

Notes:

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Participant Case File Review Worksheet

Program Name	File #
Date of intake:	
Date of exit (or currently enrolled):	
Services received:	
Description and amount of financial assistance, if applicable:	
Is a copy of the bill, voucher and/or check retained in the file?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is there evidence that the participant meets CSBG income eligibility guidelines?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, what documentation was used to determine the participant's eligibility?	
If applicable, was ongoing eligibility documented?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is the participant a single custodial parent?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, is there evidence the participant was referred to the local child support agency?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are other referrals documented in file?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Did the participant receive case management services?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, how are case management services documented?	
Are goals and progress towards these goals clearly documented?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Notes:	

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

12. Exit Interview Preparations and Visit Wrap-Up

Follow-up items for DCF:

Follow-up items for agency:

Strengths:

Recommendations/areas of concern:

Will exit interview be conducted at a later date telephonically? Yes No

If yes, what items (if not already noted above) are needed prior to that interview?

Once visit follow-up is completed, the contract manager will schedule the exit interview (if not completed while onsite), and issue a final monitoring report to the agency within thirty (30) days of the exit interview date (completion of monitoring).

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

13. Exit Interview

The contract manager will go over preliminary findings with the executive director, board chair or other officer, and any other leaders the agency wishes to have present. The contract manager will share overall impressions of the agency's strengths along with any areas of concern and any areas where the contract manager has questions or needs more information.

Interview Attendees	
Executive Director	
Others from Agency	
DCF Representative(s)	
Date exit interview conducted:	

Notes:

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

CSBG Organizational Standards Post-Monitoring Assessment

Contract manager to complete this assessment after completing the monitoring visit. Any unmet Organizational Standards will be noted on the final monitoring report submitted to the agency for review and correction.

Category 1: Consumer Input and Involvement	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is the Standard met?
1.1) The organization demonstrates low-income participation in its activities.	Section 10	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
1.2) The organization analyzes information collected directly from low-income individuals as part of its triennial community needs assessment.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
1.3) The organization has a systematic approach for collecting, analyzing, and reporting customer satisfaction data to the governing board.	Section 10	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Category 2: Community Engagement	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is the Standard met?
2.1) The organization has documented or demonstrated partnerships across the community, for specifically identified purposes; partnerships include other anti-poverty organizations in the area.	Section 10	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
2.2) The organization utilizes information gathered from key sectors of the community in assessing needs and resources. This would include at minimum: community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, private sector, public sector, and educational institutions.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
2.3) The organization communicates its activities and its results to the community.	Section 10	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
2.4) The organization documents the number of volunteers and hours mobilized in support of its activities.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Category 3: Community Assessment	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is the Standard met?
3.1) The organization conducted a community needs assessment and issued a report within the past 3 years.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
3.2) As part of the community assessment, the organization collects and includes current data specific to poverty and its prevalence related to gender, age, and race/ethnicity for their service area(s).	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
3.3) The organization collects and analyzes both qualitative and quantitative data on its geographic service area(s) in the community assessment.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
3.4) The community assessment includes key findings on the causes and conditions of poverty and the needs of the communities assessed.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
3.5) The governing board formally accepts the completed community assessment.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Category 4: Organizational Leadership	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is the Standard met?
4.1) The governing board has reviewed the organization’s mission statement within the past 5 years and assured that: 1) the mission addresses poverty; and 2) all programs and services are in alignment with the mission.	Section 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
4.2) The organization’s Community Action plan is outcome-based, anti-poverty focused, and ties directly to the community assessment.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
4.3) The organization’s Community Action plan and strategic plan document the continuous use of the full ROMA cycle or comparable system (assessment, planning, implementation, achievement of results, and evaluation). In addition, the organization documents having used the services of a ROMA-certified trainer (or equivalent) to assist in implementation.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
4.4) The governing board receives an annual update on the success of specific strategies included in the Community Action plan.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
4.5) The organization has a written succession plan in place for the CEO/ED, approved by the governing board, which contains procedures for covering an emergency/unplanned, short-term absence of 3 months or less, as well as outlines the process for filling a permanent vacancy.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
4.6) An organization-wide, comprehensive risk assessment has been completed within the past 2 years and reported to the governing board.	Section 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Category 5: Board Governance	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is the Standard met?
5.1) The organization’s governing board is structured in compliance with the CSBG Act: 1) At least one-third democratically-selected representatives of the low-income community; 2) With one-third local elected officials (or their representatives); and 3) The remaining membership from major groups and interests in the community.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5.2) The organization’s governing board has written procedures that document a democratic selection process for low-income board members adequate to assure that they are representative of the low-income community.	Pre-monitoring desk review; Section 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5.3) The organization’s bylaws have been reviewed by an attorney within the past 5 years.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5.4) The organization documents that each governing board member has received a copy of the bylaws within the past 2 years.	Section 2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5.5) The organization’s governing board meets in accordance with the frequency and quorum requirements and fills board vacancies as set out in its bylaws.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5.6) Each governing board member has signed a conflict of interest policy within the past 2 years.	Pre-monitoring desk review; Section 2; Board Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5.7) The organization has a process to provide a structured orientation for governing board members within 6 months of being seated.	Section 3; Board Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

5.8) Governing board members have been provided with training on their duties and responsibilities within the past two years.	Section 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
5.9) The organization's governing board receives programmatic reports at each regular board meeting.	Section 3; Board Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Category 6: Strategic Planning	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is the Standard met?
6.1) The organization has an agency-wide strategic plan in place that has been approved by the governing board within the past 5 years.	Pre-monitoring desk review; Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
6.2) The approved strategic plan addresses reduction of poverty, revitalization of low-income communities, and/or empowerment of people with low incomes to become more self-sufficient.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
6.3) The approved strategic plan contains family, agency, and/or community goals.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
6.4) Customer satisfaction data and customer input, collected as part of the community assessment, is included in the strategic planning process.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
6.5) The governing board has received an update(s) on progress towards meeting the goals of the strategic plan within the past 12 months.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Category 7: Human Resource Management	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is the Standard met?
7.1) The organization has written personnel policies that have been reviewed by an attorney and approved by the governing board within the past 5 years.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7.2) The organization makes available the employee handbook (or personnel policies in cases without a handbook) to all staff and notifies staff of any changes.	Section 5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7.3) The organization has written job descriptions for all positions, which have been updated within the past 5 years.	Section 4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7.4) The governing board conducts a performance appraisal of the CEO/executive director within each calendar year.	Section 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7.5) The governing board reviews and approves CEO/executive director compensation within every calendar year.	Section 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7.6) The organization has a policy in place for regular written evaluation of employees by their supervisors.	Section 4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7.7) The organization has a whistleblower policy that has been approved by the governing board.	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
7.8) All staff participate in a new employee orientation within 60 days of hire.	Section 5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

<p>7.9) The organization conducts or makes available staff development/training (including ROMA) on an ongoing basis.</p>	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Category 8: Financial Operations and Oversight</p>	<p>Location in Monitoring Tool</p>	<p>Is the Standard met?</p>
<p>8.1) The organization's annual audit (or audited financial statements) is completed by a Certified Public Accountant on time in accordance with Title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirement (if applicable) and/or State audit threshold requirements.</p>	Contract Compliance Checklist	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.2) All findings from the prior year's annual audit have been assessed by the organization and addressed where the governing board has deemed it appropriate.</p>	Contract Compliance Checklist	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.3) The organization's auditor presents the audit to the governing board.</p>	Section 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.4) The governing board formally receives and accepts the audit.</p>	Section 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.5) The organization has solicited bids for its audit within the past 5 years.</p>	Section 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.6) The IRS Form 990 is completed annually and made available to the governing board for review.</p>	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.7) The governing board receives financial reports at each regular meeting that include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organization-wide report on revenue and expenditures that compares budget to actual, categorized by program; and • Balance sheet/statement of financial position. 	Section 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.8) All required filings and payments related to payroll withholdings are completed on time.</p>	Section 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.9) The governing board annually approves an organization-wide budget.</p>	Section 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.10) The fiscal policies have been reviewed by staff within the past 2 years, updated as necessary, with changes approved by the governing board.</p>	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.11) A written procurement policy is in place and has been reviewed by the governing board within the past 5 years.</p>	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.12) The organization documents how it allocates shared costs through an indirect cost rate, or through a written cost allocation plan.</p>	Pre-monitoring desk review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>8.13) The organization has a written policy in place for record retention and destruction.</p>	Section 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Wisconsin Monitoring Tool

Category 9: Data and Analysis	Location in Monitoring Tool	Is this Standard met?
9.1) The organization has a system or systems in place to track and report services customers receive.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
9.2) The organization has a system or systems in place to track family, agency, and/or community outcomes.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
9.3) The organization has presented to the governing board for review and action, at least within the 12 months, an analysis of the agency's outcomes and any operational or strategic program adjustments and improvements identified as necessary.	Section 9	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
9.4) The organization submits its CSBG Annual Report data on time and it reflects client demographics and organization-wide outcomes.	Contract Compliance Checklist	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Wisconsin Program/Fiscal Monitoring

Fiscal Onsite Review of Specific Cost Items

Cost item	Date of expense	Amount	Documentation observed by the contract manager

Notes:

Wisconsin Program/Fiscal Monitoring

Interview with the Chief Financial Officer

Interview Attendees	
CFO or equivalent	
Executive Director	
Others from Agency	
DCF Representative(s)	

- a. Does the organization engage in any lobbying, which would include having staff or volunteers meet with, call, or email elected officials regarding state or federal legislation, including appropriations, or referenda or ballot initiatives? (*Definition of lobbying from CAPLAW’s “Lobbying Q & A”, Spring 2011*) Yes No
- If yes, what policies and procedures are in place to ensure that only non-federal unrestricted funds are used to pay for lobbying expenses?
- b. What policies and procedures does the organization have in place to ensure that no CSBG programs are affiliated or identified with, or use any CSBG funds to support the following:
- Partisan or non-partisan political activity, or any political activity associated with a candidate, or contending faction or group, in an election for public or party office;
 - Voter registration activities; or
 - Providing voters with transportation to the polls or similar assistance? (*CSBG Act*)
- c. Are procedures in place to ensure that CSBG funds are not used to purchase, construct, or improve (other than low-cost residential weatherization or other energy-related home repairs) any building or facility, unless a waiver is received from OCS? (*CSBG Act*) Yes No
- d. Has an agency-wide risk assessment been completed within the past two years? (*Org. Standard 4.6*) Yes No
- If yes, date of last risk assessment:
 - How was the risk assessment completed (self, consultant, other)?
 - If yes, was it reviewed by the board? Yes No
- e. Does the agency have a written record retention policy in place? (*Org. Standard 8.13*) Yes No
- If yes, what is the policy?

Wisconsin Program/Fiscal Monitoring

- f. Are all agency computers password protected? Yes No
- What back-up does the agency have for data and electronic systems?
 - Is there a disaster recovery plan in place? Yes No
- g. Describe the payroll process, including who is involved in the approval process, and which pieces, if any, are outsourced.
- h. Bank Reconciliations: describe the reconciliation process.
- Does one person perform the reconciliation and another sign off on it? Yes No
 - Does the agency perform these at least quarterly? Yes No
- i. Has the agency solicited bids for its audit within the past five years? (*Org. Standard 8.5*)
 Yes No
- j. Are all required filings and payments related to payroll withholdings completed on time? (*Org. Standard 8.8*) Yes No
- k. Does the agency's auditor present the audit to the board or a committee of the board? (*Org. Standard 8.3*) Yes No
- l. Does the board formally receive and accept the audit? (*Org. Standard 8.4*) Yes No
- m. Does the finance committee analyze expenditure reports and provide a report to the board?
 Yes No
- n. How are exceeded budgets dealt with (or budgets that are projected to be exceeded)?
- o. How are costs allocated to programs?
- p. How many bank accounts does the agency have?
- q. Who has access to the bank accounts?
- r. Does the finance committee receive regular reports on the status of the accounts? Yes No
- s. Is there a periodic review of financial operation of the agency? Yes No
- t. Does the finance committee play a role in the development of agency fiscal policies? Yes No