

# Weatherization Assistance Program

**FUNDING SURVEY**

PY 2009



WEATHERIZATION  
ASSISTANCE  
PROGRAM

# Contents

Summary.....	1
Background .....	1
Funding Sources.....	2
Funding Trends.....	3
Production Summary .....	4
Funding Future .....	4

## Tables and Figures

Table 1: Total - All Funds.....	5
Table 2: Total 1999–2009 .....	6
Table 3: Total by Fund – 2009.....	8
Table 4: DOE Funding Levels 1999–2009.....	10
Table 5: LIHEAP Funding Levels 1998–2008 .....	12
Table 6: PVE Funding Levels 1999–2009 .....	14
Table 7: Other Funding Levels 1999 –2009 .....	16
Table 8: Source of Other Funds .....	18
Table 9: ARRA Funding Levels.....	19
Figure 1: DOE WAP Funding vs. Total WAP Funding 1999–2009.....	20
Figure 2: LIHEAP WAP vs. Total WAP Funding 1999–2009 .....	20
Figure 3: PVE WAP Funding vs. Total WAP Funding 1999–2009 .....	21
Figure 4: Other Funding vs. Total WAP Funding 1999–2009 .....	21
Figure 5: WAP Funding from All Sources 1999–2009 .....	22
Figure 6: 2009 WAP Funding by Source .....	22



*National Association  
for State Community  
Services Programs*



WEATHERIZATION  
ASSISTANCE  
PROGRAM

# Weatherization Assistance Program PY 2009 Funding Survey

## Summary

The National Association for State Community Services Programs' (NASCSP) survey of the 50 states; District of Columbia; and U.S. Territories of Puerto Rico, Guam, U.S. Virgin Islands, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), and American Samoa indicates an estimated \$1,051,041,144 will be available to the grantees of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Low-Income Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) for Program Year (PY) 2009 (April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 for some grantees and July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 for other grantees). This figure represents an increase of \$71,487,639 in overall funds from the PY 2008 funding level of \$979,553,505.

A national network of grantees, local agencies, and private contractors will use these funds to weatherize the homes of 171,386 low-income families, according to estimated production figures provided by the grantees. The WAP is operated in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, select Native American tribes, and select U.S. Territories. The Program serves low-income families who own or rent single-family, multi-family, or mobile homes. The professionals who install the energy efficiency services in these homes use the latest technologies, state of the art equipment, and expert skills to help these families reduce their energy use, lower their energy bills, and improve the health and safety of their dwellings.

## Background

### History

The WAP was created in 1976 to assist low-income families who lacked resources to invest in energy efficiency. The mission of the WAP is, "To reduce energy costs for low-income families, particularly for the elderly, people with disabilities, and children, by improving the energy efficiency of their homes while ensuring their health and safety." WAP is operated in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Native American tribes, and U.S. Territories. Funds are used to improve the energy efficiency of low-income homes using the most advanced technologies and diagnostic testing protocols available in the housing industry. The energy conservation resulting from the efforts of grantees and local agencies helps our country reduce its dependence on foreign oil and decrease the cost of energy for families in need while improving the health and safety of their homes.

Core WAP funding is derived from annual appropriations from Congress. The Program is also governed by various federal regulations designed to help manage and account for the resources provided by DOE.

The NASCSP WAP Funding Survey is the only comprehensive measure of all funds, including non-DOE funds, that are spent in the Program. Each year, the Survey catalogues the funding and production levels for each grantee of the Program as well as the source of that funding. The WAP Funding Survey also serves as the historical reference for all program funding from the various sources, maintaining data for over twenty years while publishing the previous ten years in each report.

## Funding Sources

With a renewed focus on the Weatherization Assistance Program by the Department of Energy, regular funding levels for the WAP increased for Program Year 2009. Additionally, there is a \$5 billion influx of funds over a three-year time period from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). As in past program years, the regular program funds come from four major sources: the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) WAP, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), settlements from Petroleum Violation Escrow cases (PVE), and “Other” funds from utility companies, grantees, and property owner contributions.

### DOE

Grantees estimate that \$421,652,590 in DOE funds will be used in PY 2009 to weatherize 80,000 homes. This figure, which does not include ARRA funds, represents an increase of approximately 77.8 percent compared to actual funds reported for PY 2008 (\$237,096,424). This year, DOE funding represents 40.1 percent of the total funds available for the WAP, an increase of 15.9 percent from PY 2008. This is largely due to a Supplemental funding amount of \$250 million in addition to the regular DOE appropriation.

### LIHEAP

Grantees may use up to 15 percent of their LIHEAP Block Grant to fund Weatherization related activities. In PY 2009, grantees estimate that \$456,108,441 will be made available through LIHEAP for these energy efficiency activities. This is an increase of 37.1 percent or approximately \$123 million from the actual funds reported for PY 2008 (\$332,778,124). Funds provided through the LIHEAP Block Grant represent 43.4 percent of the total funds available in PY 2009, or 9.4 percent more than last year.

Forty-five grantees will transfer LIHEAP funds into the WAP in PY 2009. Of those grantees, thirty-three increased LIHEAP transfers and eleven decreased LIHEAP funding. One grantee transferred the same amount as last year. The remaining grantees will make no LIHEAP transfer including Connecticut, Hawaii, Kentucky, Missouri, North Dakota, Vermont, American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, CNMI, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. LIHEAP transfers to the WAP vary from grantee to grantee, from a low of \$498,563 in Alaska to a high of \$62,000,000 in New York.

### PVE

The use of PVE settlement cases to fund WAP activity is expected to decrease significantly from \$9,379,580 in actual funds used in 2008 to \$852,159 for PY 2009. This year, three grantees expect to receive PVE funds: Indiana, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. The PVE fund category will represent approximately .08 percent of the total funds available for WAP in PY 2009.

### Other

WAP providers will use approximately \$172,427,954 in funding from utility companies, grantee general revenues, property owner contributions, and rehabilitation grants to expand Weatherization services in PY 2009. This represents a decrease of \$227,871,423 over last year’s funding level of \$400,299,377. Utility companies will serve as the primary source of “Other” funds, followed by the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), other grantee funds, and rehabilitation grants. Twenty-six grantees leverage no



“Other” funds with their WAP funds, including five where funds decreased to \$0. Of the remaining thirty grantees which still receive funding, sixteen anticipate funding levels at or above PY 2008 levels while fourteen expect decreases. Significant gains were recorded in Alabama, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, New Mexico, and Delaware. The “Other” funds category will represent approximately 16.4 percent of the total funds available for WAP this year, a 24.4 percent decrease over last year’s representation.

## ARRA

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) provided \$5 billion to the WAP over three years, ending March 31, 2012. This one time infusion of funds gave \$4,665,810,609 to the grantees of the WAP. This has allowed the network to quickly expand to meet the expectations of the Obama Administration.

## Funding Trends

The aforementioned is a comparison of actual funds available in PY 2008 with estimated funds available in PY 2009. The Administration’s shift in priorities back to the WAP is likely to increase DOE funding share in the future. This can be seen with the introduction of ARRA funds. Provided the other sources of funds remain constant, WAP should experience tremendous growth with the ARRA funds and then level off through 2012, resulting in more homes weatherized. Here are some of the other funding trends as reflected in the survey results:

- Over the past 10 years, DOE funding has fluctuated from a high of \$421.6 million in PY 2009 to a low of \$134 million in PY 1999. PY 2009 DOE funds will be higher than the PY 2008 by approximately \$215 million. This reflects a Supplemental Appropriation by Congress into the WAP in addition to the regular 2009 appropriation.
- The regulations governing LIHEAP allow for “up to 15 percent of a grantee’s allocation to be used for WAP”. Up to 25 percent is allowable with a waiver from HHS. The amount of LIHEAP funds dedicated to the WAP is usually in direct proportion to the national appropriation of these funds by Congress and the distribution of emergency LIHEAP funds by the President. In 2009, grantees received \$5.1 billion in LIHEAP and the related emergency contingency funds. The transfer of \$456,108,441 to WAP in 2009 represents 8.9 percent of the national LIHEAP allocation. It is reasonable to assume that as LIHEAP appropriations rise and fall, so will the representative amounts transferred to WAP.
- The highest funding available from PVE case settlements occurred in PY 1988 when nearly \$205 million was set aside by grantees for WAP activity. Steady declines with some slight fluctuations have occurred since then. This year marks a dramatic, 90.9 percent decrease in PVE funds. Grantees no longer depend on PVE funds to offset core capacity within their networks and look to DOE, LIHEAP, and “Other” sources to sustain their expanding service delivery.
- While funds in the “Other” category decreased from PY 1987 to PY 1989, they steadily increased to 2008. However, in PY 2009, this funding decreased 56.9 percent from PY 2008 to \$172,427,954 anticipated for WAP activities. This is largely due to an influx of \$200 million to Alaska by the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation in 2008, which inflated that year’s numbers. Grantee and local WAP offices continue to seek leveraging opportunities with landlords, grantee-funded companion





programs, and other publicly and privately funded projects to increase funding and improve the selection of services available to low-income families through the WAP.

- WAP activities continue to depend on a variety of funding sources. The WAP relies heavily on leveraging activity. In PY 2009, the WAP will leverage approximately \$629 million in federal, grantee, and private funds, or approximately \$1.49 in federal and non-federal resources for every dollar invested by DOE.

## Production Summary

The WAP Funding Survey Questionnaire provides an opportunity for grantees to estimate the number of homes to be weatherized using various funding sources. Please note that the average cost per unit was increased from \$2,966 in 2008 to \$6,500 in 2009. This may have resulted in grantees installing more measures, spending more funds on homes and, therefore, weatherizing fewer total homes. The 2009 WAP Funding Survey results indicate that the network will weatherize approximately 171,386 homes using all funds. Some grantees report production as “unduplicated” – meaning that the grantee can track production to a specific funding source (DOE, LIHEAP, PVE). Other grantees report production as “blended” – meaning that other funds (LIHEAP, PVE, OTHER) are added to the DOE or other funds to produce a completely weatherized home. The following are some of the highlights and conclusions related to the production reports:

- 22 grantees (or 39 percent) reported their DOE production as unduplicated, while 34 grantees (or 61 percent) reported their DOE production as blended with other funds.
- Grantees will weatherize approximately 57,102 homes using LIHEAP as the primary funding source. Most of these units will not be reported to DOE as completions since there is no requirement to track units that do not include a DOE investment.
- The three grantees using PVE funds in PY 2009 estimate that 397 homes will be weatherized using these funds. In every case, these units will be reported to DOE since WAP regulations usually govern PVE expenditures and limited DOE funds are invested.
- Grantees will use OTHER funds to work on 33,887 homes according to the grantees. Some of these homes will be blended units and some unduplicated. These homes may or may not be reported to DOE based on the source of the leveraging activity and DOE’s investment in the units.
- Grantees expect to weatherize 578,063 units using ARRA funds. Now grantees report these units to DOE monthly.

Special Note: The accuracy of these figures will be determined by comparing estimates with actual production reported by the grantees.

## Funding Future

The WAP community recognizes that the level of funding provided to the WAP from ARRA will be very difficult to maintain. The WAP network will be challenged to sustain as close to its total funding level and capacity as possible after ARRA concludes on March 31, 2012. Significant changes in funding are predicted in all categories: annual DOE grant funds will remain stable or increase, due to the increased focus on the program from ARRA funding; LIHEAP funds will shift in accordance with the Congressional Appropriations; PVE funds will continue to fluctuate as funds are exhausted; and



OTHER funds should increase as leveraging becomes a focus to sustain the WAP after ARRA . WAP providers will be seeking additional sources of funding, and considering an expanded focus for WAP in the emerging green residential retrofit market. Here are some insights into future funding patterns predicted as a result of the current political climate and historical survey results:

- With the increase in DOE funding through ARRA , it is possible that the percentage of LIHEAP funds transferred to WAP may decrease, as the WAP may be seen as receiving an adequate amount of funding.
- PVE funding is an unreliable source for the future of WAP. No significant impact will be seen on a national level when these funds are no longer available, provided DOE or OTHER funds continue to increase.
- OTHER funds may grow as utilities reassess their commitment and contributions to energy efficiency. Additionally, there is a focus on increasing OTHER funding in order to sustain the growth of the network once ARRA funding is expended.
- With the desire of the current Administration to continue WAP funding, the network will continue to rely on Congressional support and alternative revenue sources for the Program. DOE funding will still continue to be the primary source to support administration at the grantee and local levels, the auditing of homes, health and safety protocols for service delivery, quality control and the evaluation of program effectiveness. Over the past 30 years, professionals working within the WAP have developed exacting standards for effectiveness, quality and energy efficiency. By achieving these standards, the WAP has created an environment in which other public and private organizations are willing to invest. DOE funds remain critical to continued Program success.

The following pages contain charts and graphs that depict the status of WAP funding this year and the increases and declines in funding over the past 10 years.

### Weatherization Assistance Program Funding Survey

**Table 1: Total - All Funds**

	Funding Source									
	DOE		LIHEAP		PVE		Other		Total	
<b>1999</b>	\$134,280,576	34.6%	\$168,937,178	43.5%	\$20,814,506	5.4%	\$64,027,593	16.5%	\$388,059,854	100.0%
<b>2000</b>	\$136,833,867	31.2%	\$193,052,820	44.0%	\$15,053,888	3.4%	\$93,934,170	21.4%	\$438,874,745	100.0%
<b>2001</b>	\$158,595,198	30.8%	\$230,794,518	44.8%	\$5,413,425	1.1%	\$119,920,987	23.3%	\$514,724,128	100.0%
<b>2002</b>	\$222,872,844	39.4%	\$211,770,703	37.5%	\$6,559,317	1.2%	\$124,268,520	22.0%	\$565,471,384	100.0%
<b>2003</b>	\$222,636,448	37.2%	\$219,474,943	36.6%	\$5,535,811	0.9%	\$151,394,390	25.3%	\$599,041,592	100.0%
<b>2004</b>	\$223,920,902	37.2%	\$225,698,196	37.5%	\$2,605,043	0.4%	\$150,286,811	24.9%	\$602,510,952	100.0%
<b>2005</b>	\$228,703,039	35.7%	\$247,350,505	38.7%	\$6,166,469	1.0%	\$157,697,188	24.6%	\$639,917,201	100.0%
<b>2006</b>	\$237,929,418	32.5%	\$312,664,523	42.8%	\$3,761,577	0.5%	\$176,834,924	24.2%	\$731,190,442	100.0%
<b>2007</b>	\$206,135,050	30.2%	\$260,115,214	38.1%	\$4,287,384	0.6%	\$212,306,430	31.1%	\$682,844,079	100.0%
<b>2008</b>	\$237,096,424	24.2%	\$332,778,124	34.0%	\$9,379,580	1.0%	\$400,299,377	40.9%	\$979,553,505	100.0%
<b>2009</b>	\$421,652,590	40.1%	\$456,108,441	43.4%	\$852,159	0.1%	\$172,427,954	16.4%	\$1,051,041,144	100.0%



**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Table 2:**  
**Total All Sources**  
**1999–2009**

Grantee	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Alabama	\$1,962,292	\$2,296,102	\$2,421,829	\$3,115,832	\$3,115,832	\$3,448,613	\$3,461,895
Alaska	\$6,632,327	\$3,648,506	\$5,700,925	\$5,666,501	\$5,803,923	\$5,785,101	\$5,119,616
Arizona	\$2,585,576	\$2,810,633	\$4,027,393	\$3,104,530	\$3,344,978	\$3,817,125	\$3,470,690
Arkansas	\$3,063,832	\$2,754,965	\$3,168,342	\$3,809,923	\$6,199,436	\$3,841,539	\$3,693,738
California	\$17,075,082	\$33,615,648	\$49,639,617	\$27,225,807	\$26,488,923	\$28,565,119	\$34,023,544
Colorado	\$7,007,614	\$7,496,895	\$10,569,485	\$12,256,108	\$12,632,303	\$12,437,330	\$12,663,945
Connecticut	\$2,467,572	\$2,543,674	\$7,100,399	\$7,455,056	\$6,362,509	\$6,416,795	\$2,517,795
Delaware	\$1,104,161	\$1,506,940	\$1,375,000	\$1,478,518	\$1,113,017	\$1,257,787	\$1,457,217
Dist. Columbia	\$1,137,980	\$902,606	\$2,388,844	\$3,332,628	\$2,655,764	\$2,698,666	\$2,271,292
Florida	\$8,343,531	\$5,345,307	\$6,114,033	\$7,388,963	\$8,406,415	\$5,637,306	\$11,272,084
Georgia	\$6,419,062	\$4,787,891	\$4,983,057	\$6,754,364	\$6,852,817	\$6,405,577	\$6,418,319
Hawaii	\$143,523	\$166,104	\$174,851	\$230,257	\$201,583	\$204,993	\$217,077
Idaho	\$2,657,370	\$3,686,016	\$3,201,815	\$3,852,120	\$4,266,056	\$4,987,037	\$6,023,174
Illinois	\$24,406,940	\$25,710,049	\$31,948,000	\$33,126,652	\$35,845,888	\$35,260,793	\$37,618,757
Indiana	\$9,619,907	\$10,761,689	\$14,735,924	\$12,141,488	\$13,267,971	\$14,077,482	\$13,243,493
Iowa	\$7,916,909	\$8,775,590	\$8,418,213	\$11,526,758	\$12,727,525	\$13,759,834	\$15,010,934
Kansas	\$3,346,208	\$3,095,210	\$3,559,091	\$4,354,896	\$4,804,306	\$4,429,674	\$4,849,435
Kentucky	\$4,844,682	\$6,930,008	\$7,609,902	\$8,064,665	\$7,890,277	\$7,324,517	\$8,679,816
Louisiana	\$2,286,226	\$2,286,226	\$2,577,907	\$3,559,929	\$3,683,888	\$1,731,371	\$3,879,558
Maine	\$4,482,592	\$4,519,891	\$7,078,245	\$6,978,296	\$7,830,034	\$7,558,438	\$8,121,142
Maryland	\$3,040,724	\$3,172,536	\$3,555,842	\$4,535,405	\$2,833,047	\$4,276,630	\$5,461,406
Massachusetts	\$17,383,676	\$20,121,559	\$24,151,641	\$29,708,168	\$28,765,535	\$30,587,484	\$35,045,683
Michigan	\$17,942,534	\$13,104,806	\$17,266,257	\$23,381,490	\$22,582,631	\$23,690,413	\$25,472,442
Minnesota	\$10,704,709	\$13,326,639	\$13,181,909	\$16,732,130	\$18,479,385	\$14,403,455	\$23,262,867
Mississippi	\$1,082,928	\$977,345	\$1,109,916	\$1,109,916	\$1,620,281	\$3,816,428	\$1,655,581
Missouri	\$4,995,213	\$4,528,957	\$5,650,710	\$7,843,686	\$7,663,363	\$7,768,549	\$8,052,907
Montana	\$4,636,572	\$5,110,414	\$6,589,943	\$6,441,522	\$6,973,068	\$5,770,798	\$7,243,081
Nebraska	\$2,746,222	\$2,534,001	\$4,177,438	\$4,357,048	\$4,857,591	\$5,222,109	\$4,787,710
Nevada	\$613,631	\$637,366	\$702,559	\$2,802,559	\$4,354,593	\$3,472,684	\$3,611,010
New Hampshire	\$1,669,837	\$2,147,756	\$2,015,772	\$2,977,743	\$3,074,049	\$3,379,465	\$3,011,400
New Jersey	\$6,585,146	\$6,637,050	\$17,120,650	\$6,502,381	\$8,866,219	\$8,952,038	\$8,732,246
New Mexico	\$2,841,947	\$2,856,826	\$1,587,864	\$2,240,517	\$2,846,464	\$3,816,812	\$5,901,106
New York	\$36,683,261	\$59,441,100	\$52,391,186	\$62,427,085	\$62,156,894	\$61,219,078	\$60,647,038
North Carolina	\$6,806,702	\$9,439,437	\$8,174,636	\$8,849,670	\$10,537,129	\$9,582,423	\$9,920,810
North Dakota	\$2,581,572	\$3,786,806	\$3,795,918	\$5,077,852	\$4,345,377	\$4,370,079	\$4,614,883
Ohio	\$31,943,264	\$33,468,797	\$35,179,764	\$32,717,069	\$50,405,476	\$48,604,375	\$49,236,390
Oklahoma	\$2,156,694	\$2,237,329	\$2,653,708	\$3,526,646	\$3,536,907	\$3,748,376	\$3,687,720
Oregon	\$7,100,411	\$6,357,399	\$6,923,734	\$13,349,173	\$14,637,847	\$15,399,682	\$14,098,836
Pennsylvania	\$19,626,872	\$25,697,522	\$27,022,764	\$32,919,448	\$32,486,600	\$33,723,066	\$34,763,257
Rhode Island	\$2,242,928	\$3,355,520	\$3,448,907	\$3,570,171	\$3,553,671	\$3,356,210	\$3,711,108
South Carolina	\$2,388,080	\$2,824,202	\$3,388,213	\$3,615,159	\$2,982,059	\$3,632,137	\$3,634,960
South Dakota	\$3,302,845	\$2,950,301	\$2,680,765	\$3,370,853	\$3,426,367	\$3,459,349	\$3,467,614
Tennessee	\$4,236,261	\$5,497,888	\$4,864,161	\$6,548,940	\$5,979,828	\$6,691,292	\$6,351,237
Texas	\$31,516,941	\$13,019,217	\$15,045,434	\$20,998,321	\$23,873,624	\$16,192,351	\$15,119,764
Utah	\$2,943,592	\$5,103,514	\$4,532,192	\$6,783,357	\$5,361,185	\$4,330,501	\$5,720,537
Vermont	\$5,036,027	\$4,843,972	\$4,922,849	\$6,481,618	\$5,492,325	\$5,982,327	\$7,275,275
Virginia	\$7,431,390	\$9,267,236	\$9,735,978	\$10,532,279	\$9,734,727	\$10,815,283	\$11,163,455
Washington	\$12,483,909	\$18,547,234	\$16,337,558	\$18,956,766	\$17,025,539	\$19,843,586	\$19,719,456
West Virginia	\$3,981,894	\$3,678,460	\$5,239,491	\$5,895,522	\$5,769,583	\$5,752,110	\$5,745,647
Wisconsin	\$12,683,919	\$19,155,231	\$32,045,603	\$43,281,100	\$49,087,494	\$57,889,706	\$62,191,216
Wyoming	\$1,166,767	\$1,408,375	\$2,437,894	\$2,514,499	\$2,239,289	\$2,917,059	\$2,597,038
American Samoa	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Guam	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Puerto Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N. Mariana Isles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Virgin Islands	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$388,059,854</b>	<b>\$438,874,745</b>	<b>\$514,724,128</b>	<b>\$565,471,384</b>	<b>\$599,041,592</b>	<b>\$602,510,952</b>	<b>\$639,917,201</b>
% of Prog. Dollars	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Grantees Reporting	51	51	51	51	51	51	51





2006	2007	2008	2009	% change 2008 to 2009	2009 Total Unit Production
\$3,829,626	\$3,214,809	\$3,451,916	\$9,000,537	160.7%	522
\$5,341,472	\$6,131,367	\$202,040,077	\$3,052,478	-98.5%	600
\$4,972,511	\$6,797,021	\$9,225,865	\$7,710,488	-16.4%	399
\$4,109,158	\$4,663,316	\$5,369,603	\$8,686,948	61.8%	1,237
\$44,623,968	\$29,027,786	\$30,040,723	\$63,716,032	112.1%	32,785
\$14,066,141	\$12,270,886	\$12,051,593	\$16,308,747	35.3%	3,158
\$7,543,439	\$6,942,994	\$9,005,304	\$12,815,348	42.3%	14,000
\$1,112,727	\$1,385,509	\$1,598,420	\$3,962,172	147.9%	521
\$4,654,614	\$5,220,735	\$9,736,259	\$7,142,297	-26.6%	1,578
\$7,957,713	\$9,105,628	\$19,669,400	\$17,885,233	-9.1%	1,165
\$10,332,669	\$7,426,583	\$7,959,157	\$13,233,067	66.3%	746
\$217,160	\$282,379	\$282,379	\$393,559	39.4%	346
\$7,846,308	\$9,782,584	\$8,311,847	\$10,257,617	23.4%	1,515
\$44,457,622	\$37,797,411	\$52,300,069	\$60,443,286	15.6%	7,153
\$14,503,063	\$14,983,057	\$15,607,244	\$18,636,696	19.4%	2,715
\$15,427,498	\$14,739,152	\$15,313,555	\$23,722,850	54.9%	1,825
\$5,331,304	\$6,765,489	\$5,016,807	\$11,550,282	130.2%	974
\$10,284,771	\$9,328,490	\$11,957,645	\$7,640,899	-36.1%	860
\$4,697,518	\$4,250,967	\$10,050,690	\$11,773,632	17.1%	2,299
\$8,913,916	\$6,667,043	\$7,906,946	\$12,103,990	53.1%	2,858
\$6,019,356	\$3,556,774	\$5,554,363	\$7,648,390	37.7%	1,184
\$36,392,057	\$34,750,524	\$36,115,129	\$50,794,866	40.6%	12,800
\$22,946,624	\$23,989,024	\$29,043,849	\$43,449,859	49.6%	5,325
\$23,267,635	\$16,579,465	\$20,772,492	\$35,308,658	70.0%	7,061
\$1,850,660	\$1,476,791	\$1,640,948	\$8,744,293	432.9%	1,103
\$10,999,886	\$8,272,886	\$9,356,596	\$14,523,993	55.2%	2,457
\$8,147,477	\$8,147,477	\$10,122,015	\$12,450,858	23.0%	1,216
\$7,149,459	\$4,546,040	\$5,441,725	\$9,116,196	67.5%	929
\$4,457,994	\$4,909,884	\$5,170,074	\$3,141,774	-39.2%	503
\$4,222,520	\$4,292,709	\$5,205,906	\$6,603,349	26.8%	785
\$14,698,959	\$14,892,095	\$11,358,338	\$28,044,562	146.9%	2,216
\$3,580,690	\$4,079,992	\$3,939,992	\$8,554,001	117.1%	2,076
\$65,305,457	\$63,009,524	\$77,800,000	\$114,500,000	47.2%	12,509
\$14,591,405	\$9,821,669	\$12,319,935	\$16,322,740	32.5%	1,375
\$4,589,151	\$4,354,121	\$5,500,000	\$1,664,727	-69.7%	300
\$56,636,231	\$61,220,651	\$61,601,632	\$47,869,028	-22.3%	2,175
\$5,092,386	\$3,964,430	\$4,594,573	\$7,750,319	68.7%	1,176
\$13,815,641	\$17,866,503	\$14,017,108	\$18,514,098	32.1%	5,134
\$43,093,384	\$41,728,518	\$49,233,884	\$41,024,156	-16.7%	2,827
\$4,713,599	\$5,428,205	\$4,768,801	\$7,649,159	60.4%	1,568
\$3,980,397	\$3,587,936	\$3,869,409	\$11,415,330	195.0%	516
\$3,580,351	\$3,516,592	\$5,057,661	\$3,513,071	-30.5%	519
\$7,237,435	\$5,987,655	\$17,052,105	\$10,425,559	-38.9%	2,648
\$20,689,503	\$13,918,324	\$13,881,694	\$47,323,278	240.9%	8,572
\$5,983,065	\$5,377,415	\$10,289,015	\$6,511,075	-36.7%	417
\$7,337,289	\$8,633,731	\$9,215,727	\$6,615,014	-28.2%	1,131
\$15,594,662	\$10,381,007	\$10,552,753	\$27,176,199	157.5%	2,995
\$18,588,110	\$19,630,502	\$19,067,884	\$32,380,236	69.8%	3,000
\$7,393,377	\$7,269,890	\$8,317,959	\$13,416,797	61.3%	2,754
\$64,938,612	\$69,672,345	\$68,199,241	\$82,802,765	21.4%	6,185
\$4,073,872	\$1,198,193	\$3,597,197	\$3,981,948	10.7%	437
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$719,555	N/A	47
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$198,908	N/A	33
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$452,558	N/A	45
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$197,186	N/A	54
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$200,481	N/A	58
<b>\$731,190,442</b>	<b>\$682,844,079</b>	<b>\$979,553,505</b>	<b>\$1,051,041,144</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>171,386</b>
100%	100%	100%	100%		
51	51	51	56		



**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Table 3:**  
**Total by Funding  
Source – 2009**

Grantee	2009 DOE	% of Total	2009 LIHEAP	% of Total	2009 PVE	% of Total	2009 OTHER
Alabama	\$5,458,962	60.7%	\$3,191,575	35.5%	\$0	0.0%	\$350,000
Alaska	\$2,553,915	83.7%	\$498,563	16.3%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Arizona	\$3,670,756	47.6%	\$1,439,732	18.7%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,600,000
Arkansas	\$4,264,448	49.1%	\$4,422,500	50.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
California	\$14,563,348	22.9%	\$49,152,684	77.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Colorado	\$9,122,025	55.9%	\$4,767,062	29.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,419,660
Connecticut	\$5,315,348	41.5%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$7,500,000
Delaware	\$1,183,372	29.9%	\$1,000,000	25.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$1,778,800
Dist. Columbia	\$998,697	14.0%	\$1,500,000	21.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$4,643,600
Florida	\$9,885,233	55.3%	\$8,000,000	44.7%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Georgia	\$8,294,558	62.7%	\$2,538,509	19.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,400,000
Hawaii	\$393,559	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Idaho	\$3,366,002	32.8%	\$4,476,820	43.6%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,414,795
Illinois	\$24,070,095	39.8%	\$28,873,191	47.8%	\$0	0.0%	\$7,500,000
Indiana	\$7,092,942	38.1%	\$10,768,481	57.8%	\$775,273	4.2%	\$0
Iowa	\$8,578,634	36.2%	\$10,170,381	42.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$4,973,835
Kansas	\$5,001,886	43.3%	\$6,548,396	56.7%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Kentucky	\$7,640,899	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Louisiana	\$3,623,154	30.8%	\$8,150,478	69.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Maine	\$4,924,673	40.7%	\$7,179,317	59.3%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Maryland	\$5,280,336	69.0%	\$750,000	9.8%	\$0	0.0%	\$1,618,054
Massachusetts	\$11,794,866	23.2%	\$10,000,000	19.7%	\$0	0.0%	\$29,000,000
Michigan	\$25,949,859	59.7%	\$9,000,000	20.7%	\$0	0.0%	\$8,500,000
Minnesota	\$23,379,973	66.2%	\$9,928,685	28.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,000,000
Mississippi	\$3,744,293	42.8%	\$5,000,000	57.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Missouri	\$11,566,101	79.6%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,957,892
Montana	\$3,760,263	30.2%	\$6,248,342	50.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,442,253
Nebraska	\$4,372,276	48.0%	\$4,743,920	52.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Nevada	\$2,547,725	81.1%	\$594,049	18.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
New Hampshire	\$2,533,628	38.4%	\$500,000	7.6%	\$0	0.0%	\$3,569,721
New Jersey	\$10,124,722	36.1%	\$17,919,840	63.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
New Mexico	\$2,927,997	34.2%	\$3,437,826	40.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,188,178
New York	\$42,500,000	37.1%	\$62,000,000	54.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$10,000,000
North Carolina	\$8,057,022	49.4%	\$8,265,718	50.6%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
North Dakota	\$1,664,727	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Ohio	\$19,150,043	40.0%	\$28,718,985	60.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Oklahoma	\$5,150,319	66.5%	\$2,200,000	28.4%	\$0	0.0%	\$400,000
Oregon	\$4,563,299	24.6%	\$6,850,799	37.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$7,100,000
Pennsylvania	\$25,524,156	62.2%	\$15,500,000	37.8%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Rhode Island	\$2,022,878	26.4%	\$2,866,680	37.5%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,759,601
South Carolina	\$4,242,330	37.2%	\$7,155,300	62.7%	\$0	0.0%	\$17,700
South Dakota	\$1,513,071	43.1%	\$2,000,000	56.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Tennessee	\$3,864,806	37.1%	\$6,560,753	62.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Texas	\$19,793,889	41.8%	\$26,446,516	55.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$1,082,873
Utah	\$3,818,075	58.6%	\$2,200,000	33.8%	\$0	0.0%	\$493,000
Vermont	\$2,021,240	30.6%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$4,593,774
Virginia	\$8,025,937	29.5%	\$19,150,262	70.5%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Washington	\$7,243,701	22.4%	\$16,136,535	49.8%	\$0	0.0%	\$9,000,000
West Virginia	\$6,226,483	46.4%	\$6,752,814	50.3%	\$0	0.0%	\$437,500
Wisconsin	\$14,966,407	18.1%	\$21,653,728	26.2%	\$46,886	0.1%	\$46,135,744
Wyoming	\$1,550,974	39.0%	\$850,000	21.3%	\$30,000	0.8%	\$1,550,974
Amer. Samoa	\$719,555	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Guam	\$198,908	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Puerto Rico	\$452,558	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
N. Mariana Isles	\$197,186	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Virgin Islands	\$200,481	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$421,652,590</b>		<b>\$456,108,441</b>		<b>\$852,159</b>		<b>\$172,427,954</b>
% of Prog. Dollars	40.1%		43.4%		0.1%		16.4%
Grantees Reporting	56		56		56		56



% of Total	2009 Total	2009 Total Unit Prod.	Estimate ARRA	Estimate ARRA Prod.
3.9%	\$9,000,537	522	\$71,800,599	6,651
0.0%	\$3,052,478	600	\$18,142,580	1,500
33.7%	\$7,710,488	399	\$57,023,278	6,412
0.0%	\$8,686,948	1,237	\$48,114,415	5,578
0.0%	\$63,716,032	32,785	\$185,811,061	43,150
14.8%	\$16,308,747	3,158	\$79,531,213	10,478
58.5%	\$12,815,348	14,000	\$64,310,502	7,500
44.9%	\$3,962,172	521	\$13,733,668	1,950
65.0%	\$7,142,297	1,578	\$8,089,022	784
0.0%	\$17,885,233	1,165	\$175,984,474	19,090
18.1%	\$13,233,067	746	\$124,756,312	13,617
0.0%	\$393,559	346	\$4,041,461	672
23.5%	\$10,257,617	1,515	\$30,341,929	3,113
12.4%	\$60,443,286	7,153	\$242,526,619	29,000
0.0%	\$18,636,696	2,715	\$131,847,383	19,736
21.0%	\$23,722,850	1,825	\$80,834,411	7,196
0.0%	\$11,550,282	974	\$56,441,771	5,460
0.0%	\$7,640,899	860	\$70,913,750	9,076
0.0%	\$11,773,632	2,299	\$50,657,478	5,136
0.0%	\$12,103,990	2,858	\$41,935,015	4,426
21.2%	\$7,648,390	1,184	\$61,441,745	6,850
57.1%	\$50,794,866	12,800	\$122,077,457	16,926
19.6%	\$43,449,859	5,325	\$243,398,975	32,232
5.7%	\$35,308,658	7,061	\$131,937,411	16,858
0.0%	\$8,744,293	1,103	\$49,421,193	5,468
20.4%	\$14,523,993	2,457	\$128,148,027	21,506
19.6%	\$12,450,858	1,216	\$26,543,777	2,477
0.0%	\$9,116,196	929	\$41,644,458	4,000
0.0%	\$3,141,774	503	\$37,281,937	5,539
54.1%	\$6,603,349	785	\$23,218,594	2,606
0.0%	\$28,044,562	2,216	\$118,821,296	13,054
25.6%	\$8,554,001	2,076	\$26,855,604	2,788
8.7%	\$114,500,000	12,509	\$394,686,513	45,000
0.0%	\$16,322,740	1,375	\$131,954,536	22,203
0.0%	\$1,664,727	300	\$25,266,330	3,267
0.0%	\$47,869,028	2,175	\$266,781,409	32,179
5.2%	\$7,750,319	1,176	\$60,903,196	7,060
38.3%	\$18,514,098	5,134	\$38,512,236	4,635
0.0%	\$41,024,156	2,827	\$252,793,062	29,554
36.1%	\$7,649,159	1,568	\$20,073,615	2,532
0.2%	\$11,415,330	516	\$58,892,771	6,500
0.0%	\$3,513,071	519	\$24,487,296	2,327
0.0%	\$10,425,559	2,648	\$99,112,101	10,512
2.3%	\$47,323,278	8,572	\$326,975,732	33,908
7.6%	\$6,511,075	417	\$37,897,203	4,466
69.4%	\$6,615,014	1,131	\$16,842,576	1,612
0.0%	\$27,176,199	2,995	\$94,134,276	9,193
27.8%	\$32,380,236	3,000	\$59,545,074	7,100
3.3%	\$13,416,797	2,754	\$37,583,874	3,574
55.7%	\$82,802,765	6,185	\$141,502,133	20,684
39.0%	\$3,981,948	437	\$10,239,261	928
0.0%	\$719,555	47	\$552,501	225
0.0%	\$198,908	33	\$1,119,297	204
0.0%	\$452,558	45	\$48,865,588	5,500
0.0%	\$197,186	54	\$571,207	173
0.0%	\$200,481	58	\$1,415,429	430
<b>\$1,051,041,144</b>	<b>171,386</b>	<b>\$4,718,334,631</b>	<b>584,370</b>	
100.0%				
56			56	



**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Table 4:  
DOE  
Funding Levels  
1999–2009**

Grantee	1999 DOE	2000 DOE	2001 DOE	2002 DOE	2003 DOE	2004 DOE	2005
Alabama	\$1,533,785	\$1,595,103	\$1,537,710	\$2,437,309	\$2,437,309	\$2,407,556	\$2,417,993
Alaska	\$995,827	\$1,011,006	\$1,700,925	\$1,666,501	\$1,803,923	\$1,785,101	\$1,687,616
Arizona	\$660,080	\$678,994	\$768,994	\$1,147,699	\$1,114,618	\$1,501,783	\$1,364,764
Arkansas	\$1,207,701	\$1,227,539	\$1,394,047	\$2,096,068	\$1,999,542	\$2,070,568	\$2,079,513
California	\$3,692,152	\$3,768,122	\$4,273,209	\$6,355,696	\$6,129,270	\$6,366,600	\$8,322,844
Colorado	\$2,219,578	\$2,234,949	\$4,835,312	\$5,548,524	\$5,384,059	\$5,479,996	\$5,431,532
Connecticut	\$1,467,572	\$1,395,674	\$2,088,271	\$2,442,928	\$2,463,509	\$2,517,795	\$2,517,795
Delaware	\$368,614	\$368,617	\$387,168	\$581,518	\$598,017	\$574,894	\$577,217
Dist. Columbia	\$384,980	\$379,137	\$437,201	\$731,778	\$886,745	\$651,868	\$651,868
Florida	\$1,159,410	\$1,160,466	\$1,317,877	\$1,981,491	\$1,923,719	\$1,965,864	\$2,592,639
Georgia	\$2,204,348	\$1,933,249	\$1,971,410	\$2,964,538	\$2,877,362	\$2,928,214	\$2,940,956
Hawaii	\$115,915	\$163,600	\$146,244	\$206,257	\$201,583	\$204,993	\$217,077
Idaho	\$1,151,869	\$1,170,011	\$1,347,717	\$1,997,798	\$1,959,146	\$1,982,038	\$1,982,038
Illinois	\$8,106,940	\$8,210,049	\$8,548,000	\$12,599,048	\$13,605,888	\$13,910,793	\$14,105,292
Indiana	\$3,829,172	\$3,883,726	\$4,410,532	\$6,663,467	\$6,436,551	\$6,436,551	\$5,589,066
Iowa	\$2,905,255	\$2,957,797	\$3,359,006	\$5,051,761	\$4,902,155	\$4,989,424	\$5,011,292
Kansas	\$1,478,560	\$1,500,217	\$1,801,366	\$2,309,138	\$2,545,456	\$2,283,766	\$2,706,214
Kentucky	\$2,646,480	\$3,230,887	\$3,042,989	\$4,576,408	\$4,441,020	\$4,405,564	\$4,519,996
Louisiana	\$1,015,660	\$1,015,660	\$1,165,702	\$1,752,591	\$1,701,665	\$1,731,371	\$1,738,815
Maine	\$1,781,125	\$1,867,566	\$2,065,666	\$3,106,311	\$3,014,901	\$3,068,227	\$3,081,589
Maryland	\$1,551,597	\$1,572,536	\$1,785,842	\$2,685,405	\$1,558,028	\$2,816,090	\$2,594,247
Massachusetts	\$3,778,005	\$3,882,059	\$4,547,684	\$6,708,168	\$6,254,090	\$6,548,606	\$6,964,249
Michigan	\$9,852,534	\$9,004,806	\$10,266,257	\$15,381,490	\$15,582,631	\$15,190,413	\$15,257,442
Minnesota	\$5,764,513	\$6,646,224	\$6,646,224	\$9,979,183	\$9,882,194	\$9,089,026	\$10,181,970
Mississippi	\$960,216	\$977,345	\$1,109,916	\$1,109,916	\$1,620,281	\$1,648,503	\$1,655,581
Missouri	\$3,525,213	\$3,558,957	\$4,041,710	\$6,078,686	\$5,898,363	\$6,003,549	\$6,029,907
Montana	\$1,483,362	\$1,583,658	\$1,710,249	\$2,550,624	\$2,475,828	\$2,530,390	\$2,623,349
Nebraska	\$1,478,552	\$1,319,850	\$1,673,115	\$2,509,881	\$2,578,559	\$2,511,448	\$2,504,834
Nevada	\$473,631	\$495,366	\$562,559	\$562,559	\$1,001,956	\$851,412	\$807,590
New Hampshire	\$869,837	\$894,446	\$1,015,772	\$2,557,743	\$1,482,885	\$1,508,657	\$1,515,114
New Jersey	\$2,978,146	\$3,030,050	\$7,987,633	\$3,435,381	\$5,259,219	\$5,345,038	\$5,125,246
New Mexico	\$1,018,543	\$1,021,834	\$987,864	\$1,440,517	\$1,396,464	\$1,920,897	\$1,711,175
New York	\$12,172,659	\$13,579,110	\$13,784,110	\$20,153,392	\$20,086,894	\$20,259,998	\$20,259,998
North Carolina	\$2,424,135	\$2,465,322	\$2,799,730	\$4,210,497	\$4,086,054	\$4,158,644	\$4,176,834
North Dakota	\$1,470,932	\$1,695,918	\$1,695,918	\$2,527,852	\$2,453,738	\$2,507,804	\$2,507,804
Ohio	\$10,300,166	\$9,174,464	\$8,475,666	\$13,889,231	\$15,030,102	\$13,801,761	\$12,710,212
Oklahoma	\$1,519,340	\$1,536,365	\$1,744,756	\$2,623,617	\$2,546,639	\$2,591,542	\$2,602,794
Oregon	\$1,672,664	\$1,899,540	\$1,899,540	\$2,856,430	\$2,838,308	\$3,469,439	\$2,921,655
Pennsylvania	\$8,648,372	\$8,718,522	\$9,901,139	\$14,892,448	\$14,448,500	\$14,707,466	\$14,772,357
Rhode Island	\$677,928	\$685,520	\$778,507	\$1,170,171	\$1,170,171	\$1,156,210	\$1,161,108
South Carolina	\$1,041,377	\$1,039,375	\$1,498,527	\$1,802,412	\$1,745,053	\$1,775,540	\$1,783,179
South Dakota	\$1,363,925	\$1,137,382	\$1,290,524	\$1,940,347	\$1,883,806	\$1,916,788	\$1,925,053
Tennessee	\$2,438,199	\$2,478,927	\$2,815,180	\$4,233,737	\$4,108,597	\$4,181,594	\$4,199,886
Texas	\$3,249,367	\$3,305,233	\$3,753,569	\$5,645,264	\$5,477,906	\$5,599,993	\$5,599,993
Utah	\$1,235,131	\$1,398,486	\$2,102,745	\$2,102,745	\$2,041,346	\$2,077,161	\$2,086,136
Vermont	\$749,737	\$757,669	\$860,443	\$1,293,419	\$1,256,227	\$1,277,921	\$1,283,358
Virginia	\$2,381,204	\$2,704,200	\$4,066,802	\$4,066,802	\$3,931,656	\$3,696,566	\$4,751,384
Washington	\$2,631,069	\$2,691,555	\$3,095,246	\$4,596,956	\$4,567,248	\$4,560,166	\$4,560,166
West Virginia	\$1,943,859	\$1,954,073	\$2,162,350	\$3,251,749	\$3,155,983	\$3,211,847	\$3,225,843
Wisconsin	\$5,009,573	\$5,079,573	\$6,143,142	\$9,210,669	\$9,236,620	\$8,568,935	\$10,488,948
Wyoming	\$691,767	\$793,133	\$793,133	\$1,188,724	\$1,154,664	\$1,174,532	\$1,179,511
American Samoa	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Guam	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Puerto Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N. Mariana Isles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Virgin Islands	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$134,280,576</b>	<b>\$136,833,867</b>	<b>\$158,595,198</b>	<b>\$222,872,844</b>	<b>\$222,636,448</b>	<b>\$223,920,902</b>	<b>\$228,703,039</b>
% of Prog. Dollars	30.60%	26.58%	28.05%	37.20%	36.95%	34.99%	31.28%
Grantees Reporting	51	51	51	51	51	51	51



	2007 DOE	2008 DOE	2009 DOE	% change 2008 to 2009	2009 DOE Production	Production Type
\$2,724,123	\$2,154,306	\$2,396,413	\$5,458,962	127.8%	297	Blended
\$1,741,472	\$1,631,367	\$1,540,077	\$2,553,915	65.8%	600	Blended
\$1,337,983	\$1,016,592	\$1,128,755	\$3,670,756	225.2%	399	Blended
\$2,202,800	\$1,853,518	\$2,061,017	\$4,264,448	106.9%	557	Blended
\$7,057,123	\$5,243,615	\$5,870,561	\$14,563,348	148.1%	6,300	Blended
\$6,520,510	\$5,022,676	\$5,454,329	\$9,122,025	67.2%	3,158	Blended
\$2,759,107	\$2,242,994	\$2,495,304	\$5,315,348	113.0%	657	Blended
\$612,727	\$518,509	\$731,420	\$1,183,372	61.8%	170	Blended
\$712,764	\$735,358	\$836,659	\$998,697	19.4%	112	Blended
\$1,752,523	\$1,948,403	\$4,669,400	\$9,885,233	111.7%	165	Unduplicated
\$3,339,105	\$2,982,035	\$2,914,609	\$8,294,558	184.6%	746	Blended
\$217,160	\$282,379	\$282,379	\$393,559	39.4%	346	Unduplicated
\$1,961,577	\$2,445,646	\$1,964,431	\$3,366,002	71.3%	343	Blended
\$14,057,622	\$12,384,751	\$13,784,473	\$24,070,095	74.6%	6,200	Blended
\$6,762,132	\$6,520,687	\$6,710,872	\$7,092,942	5.7%	1,419	Unduplicated
\$5,153,879	\$4,458,829	\$4,966,077	\$8,578,634	72.7%	760	Blended
\$2,706,214	\$2,264,099	\$2,518,837	\$5,001,886	98.6%	527	Unduplicated
\$4,761,929	\$4,039,827	\$4,550,294	\$7,640,899	67.9%	860	Unduplicated
\$1,997,309	\$1,550,758	\$2,735,655	\$3,623,154	32.4%	346	Unduplicated
\$3,240,063	\$2,744,008	\$3,235,743	\$4,924,673	52.2%	625	Blended
\$2,194,851	\$2,331,533	\$2,904,363	\$5,280,336	81.8%	936	Blended
\$6,944,036	\$5,850,524	\$6,615,129	\$11,794,866	78.3%	1,700	Unduplicated
\$15,446,624	\$13,564,024	\$15,118,849	\$25,949,859	71.6%	3,269	Unduplicated
\$10,104,169	\$8,159,658	\$9,989,081	\$23,379,973	134.1%	3,141	Unduplicated
\$1,850,660	\$1,476,791	\$1,640,948	\$3,744,293	128.2%	409	Unduplicated
\$6,368,172	\$5,364,017	\$5,975,410	\$11,566,101	93.6%	1,779	Blended
\$2,254,188	\$2,254,188	\$2,507,786	\$3,760,263	49.9%	341	Unduplicated
\$2,611,397	\$2,272,477	\$2,482,462	\$4,372,276	76.1%	433	Unduplicated
\$1,063,580	\$862,389	\$831,718	\$2,547,725	206.3%	343	Blended
\$1,605,171	\$1,351,697	\$1,501,762	\$2,533,628	68.7%	485	Blended
\$5,366,959	\$4,560,095	\$5,078,993	\$10,124,722	99.3%	1,200	Blended
\$1,857,690	\$1,714,483	\$1,714,483	\$2,927,997	70.8%	480	Unduplicated
\$21,818,047	\$18,009,524	\$20,100,000	\$42,500,000	111.4%	12,509	Blended
\$4,645,490	\$3,717,293	\$4,139,225	\$8,057,022	94.7%	1,375	Blended
\$2,589,151	\$2,234,117	\$4,500,000	\$1,664,727	-63.0%	300	Unduplicated
\$15,501,009	\$13,201,253	\$14,626,946	\$19,150,043	30.9%	2,175	Blended
\$2,831,669	\$2,318,528	\$3,399,575	\$5,150,319	51.5%	636	Blended
\$2,824,212	\$4,406,949	\$2,808,354	\$4,563,299	62.5%	1,924	Blended
\$15,101,584	\$13,132,955	\$14,638,184	\$25,524,156	74.4%	2,827	Unduplicated
\$1,253,702	\$1,037,381	\$1,150,982	\$2,022,878	75.8%	341	Blended
\$1,982,643	\$1,590,182	\$1,780,924	\$4,242,330	138.2%	516	Blended
\$1,991,514	\$2,046,721	\$3,020,139	\$1,513,071	-49.9%	212	Unduplicated
\$4,534,180	\$3,737,777	\$8,868,482	\$3,864,806	-56.4%	2,647	Blended
\$6,607,385	\$4,981,976	\$5,549,413	\$19,793,889	256.7%	2,093	Blended
\$2,161,298	\$1,859,403	\$2,067,579	\$3,818,075	84.7%	417	Blended
\$1,353,926	\$1,272,118	\$1,272,118	\$2,021,240	58.9%	1,131	Blended
\$4,703,940	\$4,344,862	\$3,997,999	\$8,025,937	100.7%	1,475	Blended
\$4,688,820	\$4,406,949	\$5,033,942	\$7,243,701	43.9%	3,000	Blended
\$3,320,985	\$2,872,199	\$3,196,901	\$6,226,483	94.8%	757	Blended
\$9,431,346	\$8,170,794	\$8,608,452	\$14,966,407	73.9%	6,185	Blended
\$1,302,898	\$991,836	\$1,128,920	\$1,550,974	37.4%	140	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$719,555	N/A	47	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$198,908	N/A	33	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	452558	N/A	45	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$197,186	N/A	54	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$200,481	N/A	58	Unduplicated
\$237,929,418	\$206,135,050	\$237,096,424	\$421,652,590	77.8%	80,000	
32.35%	21.04%	24.2%	40.12%			
51	51	51	56			

Unduplicated = DOE Funds only  
Blended = DOE and other funds used



**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Table 5:  
LIHEAP  
Funding Levels  
1999–2009**

Grantee	1999 LIHEAP	2000 LIHEAP	2001 LIHEAP	2002 LIHEAP	2003 LIHEAP	2004 LIHEAP	2005 LIHEAP
Alabama	\$428,506	\$700,999	\$884,119	\$678,523	\$678,523	\$730,457	\$768,902
Alaska	\$1,636,500	\$1,637,500	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$600,000
Arizona	\$926,368	\$1,191,792	\$1,530,107	\$1,381,049	\$1,230,360	\$1,315,342	\$1,105,926
Arkansas	\$1,756,131	\$1,427,426	\$1,774,295	\$1,663,855	\$1,849,894	\$1,770,971	\$1,614,225
California	\$13,382,930	\$19,842,880	\$21,980,868	\$20,870,111	\$20,359,653	\$22,198,519	\$21,651,700
Colorado	\$2,652,610	\$2,661,946	\$3,341,973	\$4,065,984	\$4,559,095	\$4,268,185	\$4,726,413
Connecticut	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Delaware	\$400,000	\$815,302	\$630,000	\$630,000	\$140,000	\$400,000	\$500,000
Dist. Columbia	\$523,000	\$523,469	\$861,643	\$968,350	\$669,019	\$946,798	\$870,208
Florida	\$2,184,121	\$2,184,841	\$2,796,156	\$3,407,472	\$3,482,696	\$3,671,442	\$8,579,445
Georgia	\$1,728,150	\$2,054,642	\$2,211,647	\$2,211,647	\$2,425,655	\$2,677,363	\$2,677,363
Hawaii	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Idaho	\$891,899	\$2,032,248	\$1,386,895	\$1,391,462	\$1,598,068	\$1,496,410	\$1,560,092
Illinois	\$9,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$16,200,000	\$13,327,604	\$15,040,000	\$14,150,000	\$16,313,465
Indiana	\$3,683,938	\$4,877,963	\$8,325,392	\$3,478,021	\$4,831,420	\$4,740,931	\$4,660,565
Iowa	\$2,992,684	\$3,305,823	\$2,561,237	\$4,202,027	\$5,327,400	\$5,634,440	\$5,184,900
Kansas	\$1,867,648	\$1,594,993	\$1,757,725	\$2,045,758	\$2,258,850	\$2,145,908	\$2,143,221
Kentucky	\$2,198,202	\$3,699,121	\$4,566,913	\$3,488,257	\$3,449,257	\$2,918,953	\$4,159,820
Louisiana	\$1,270,566	\$1,270,566	\$1,412,205	\$1,807,338	\$1,982,223	\$0	\$2,140,743
Maine	\$2,652,325	\$2,652,325	\$5,012,579	\$3,871,985	\$4,815,133	\$4,190,211	\$5,039,553
Maryland	\$250,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$726,779	\$772,916
Massachusetts	\$3,799,595	\$4,839,500	\$5,985,000	\$6,000,000	\$5,411,445	\$6,000,000	\$6,952,067
Michigan	\$8,090,000	\$4,100,000	\$7,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$8,500,000	\$6,000,000
Minnesota	\$2,127,196	\$2,722,255	\$3,263,340	\$3,492,947	\$6,699,352	\$2,858,563	\$10,873,446
Mississippi	\$52,712	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Missouri	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Montana	\$1,153,210	\$1,804,484	\$3,410,287	\$2,452,898	\$2,939,299	\$1,881,348	\$2,695,829
Nebraska	\$1,267,670	\$1,214,151	\$2,504,323	\$1,847,167	\$2,279,032	\$2,710,661	\$2,282,876
Nevada	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Hampshire	\$800,000	\$1,153,310	\$850,000	\$300,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000
New Jersey	\$3,607,000	\$3,607,000	\$5,914,610	\$3,067,000	\$3,607,000	\$3,607,000	\$3,607,000
New Mexico	\$773,404	\$1,234,992	\$0	\$400,000	\$1,050,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,488,862
New York	\$18,910,602	\$35,780,859	\$31,607,076	\$34,273,693	\$31,400,000	\$33,959,080	\$33,387,040
North Carolina	\$4,382,567	\$4,374,115	\$4,062,351	\$3,639,173	\$5,451,075	\$4,423,779	\$4,743,976
North Dakota	\$1,070,640	\$2,090,888	\$2,100,000	\$2,550,000	\$1,891,639	\$1,862,275	\$2,107,079
Ohio	\$9,776,437	\$9,776,437	\$19,980,208	\$10,827,838	\$15,165,914	\$15,825,739	\$16,917,856
Oklahoma	\$637,354	\$700,964	\$896,952	\$883,029	\$975,268	\$1,141,834	\$1,081,926
Oregon	\$3,226,511	\$3,310,341	\$4,244,194	\$4,533,543	\$3,779,889	\$3,954,120	\$3,715,029
Pennsylvania	\$10,978,500	\$16,979,000	\$17,121,625	\$18,027,000	\$18,038,100	\$19,015,600	\$19,990,900
Rhode Island	\$1,365,000	\$2,370,000	\$2,370,000	\$1,700,000	\$1,683,500	\$1,600,000	\$1,900,000
South Carolina	\$1,096,703	\$1,714,827	\$1,674,599	\$1,662,976	\$1,201,006	\$1,802,597	\$1,802,429
South Dakota	\$1,938,920	\$1,770,630	\$1,377,206	\$1,406,492	\$1,542,561	\$1,542,561	\$1,542,561
Tennessee	\$1,798,062	\$3,018,961	\$2,048,981	\$2,315,203	\$1,871,231	\$2,509,698	\$2,151,351
Texas	\$24,342,610	\$4,040,284	\$5,170,750	\$6,301,215	\$7,090,478	\$8,389,123	\$7,703,606
Utah	\$1,672,000	\$2,989,028	\$1,674,179	\$3,470,593	\$2,066,000	\$1,885,000	\$3,312,300
Vermont	\$265,000	\$362,873	\$265,000	\$392,223	\$450,000	\$0	\$0
Virginia	\$4,740,186	\$6,240,205	\$5,519,176	\$6,070,477	\$5,624,981	\$5,118,717	\$6,412,071
Washington	\$3,557,340	\$3,896,593	\$6,132,312	\$7,025,919	\$4,215,562	\$7,040,691	\$6,699,290
West Virginia	\$1,888,035	\$1,574,387	\$2,927,141	\$2,268,773	\$2,388,600	\$2,390,263	\$2,519,804
Wisconsin	\$4,744,346	\$7,547,658	\$12,892,469	\$7,123,101	\$7,621,140	\$9,704,311	\$10,601,223
Wyoming	\$450,000	\$615,242	\$818,985	\$500,000	\$1,084,625	\$1,292,527	\$1,292,527
Amer. Samoa	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Guam	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Puerto Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N. Mariana Isles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Virgin Islands	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$168,937,178</b>	<b>\$193,052,820</b>	<b>\$230,794,518</b>	<b>\$211,770,703</b>	<b>\$219,474,943</b>	<b>\$225,698,196</b>	<b>\$247,350,505</b>
% of Prog. Dollars	38.49%	37.51%	40.81%	35.35%	36.43%	35.27%	33.83%
Grantees Reporting	51	51	51	51	51	51	51



2006 LIHEAP	2007 LIHEAP	2008 LIHEAP	2009 LIHEAP	% change 2008 to 2009	2009 LIHEAP Production	Production Type
\$830,503	\$830,503	\$830,503	\$3,191,575	284.3%	225	Blended
\$600,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$498,563	-0.3%	0	Blended
\$2,134,528	\$1,580,429	\$3,897,110	\$1,439,732	-63.1%	0	Blended
\$1,906,358	\$2,402,361	\$1,678,862	\$4,422,500	163.4%	680	Blended
\$37,566,845	\$23,784,171	\$24,170,162	\$49,152,684	103.4%	26,485	Unduplicated
\$5,163,631	\$4,958,210	\$4,206,264	\$4,767,062	13.3%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	100.0%	77	Blended
\$396,850	\$940,377	\$2,154,000	\$1,500,000	-30.4%	838	Blended
\$5,205,190	\$4,157,225	\$15,000,000	\$8,000,000	-46.7%	1,000	Unduplicated
\$4,550,279	\$2,544,548	\$2,544,548	\$2,538,509	-0.2%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$1,961,577	\$2,445,646	\$2,008,734	\$4,476,820	122.9%	689	Blended
\$22,900,000	\$17,912,660	\$27,633,080	\$28,873,191	4.5%	0	Blended
\$4,740,931	\$5,496,370	\$5,496,372	\$10,768,481	95.9%	904	Unduplicated
\$5,185,517	\$5,451,471	\$5,514,361	\$10,170,381	84.4%	1,065	Blended
\$2,625,090	\$2,501,390	\$2,497,970	\$6,548,396	162.1%	447	Unduplicated
\$5,522,842	\$5,288,663	\$7,407,351	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$2,700,209	\$2,700,209	\$2,315,035	\$8,150,478	252.1%	1,953	Unduplicated
\$5,673,853	\$3,923,035	\$4,671,203	\$7,179,317	53.7%	2,233	Blended
\$2,274,758	\$1,225,241	\$750,000	\$750,000	0.0%	0	Blended
\$8,448,021	\$8,500,000	\$8,500,000	\$10,000,000	17.6%	3,100	Unduplicated
\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$9,000,000	157.1%	0	Unduplicated
\$10,873,466	\$6,204,936	\$7,948,075	\$9,928,685	24.9%	2,587	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000	100.0%	694	Unduplicated
\$2,000,000	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$2,835,653	\$2,835,653	\$3,434,701	\$6,248,342	81.9%	545	Unduplicated
\$4,538,062	\$2,273,563	\$2,709,263	\$4,743,920	75.1%	496	Unduplicated
\$0	\$190,495	\$689,541	\$594,049	-13.8%	160	Blended
\$500,000	\$500,000	\$750,000	\$500,000	-33.3%	100	Blended
\$5,607,000	\$5,607,000	\$6,279,345	\$17,919,840	185.4%	1,016	Blended
\$900,000	\$1,402,056	\$1,402,056	\$3,437,826	145.2%	1,241	Unduplicated
\$33,487,410	\$35,000,000	\$47,700,000	\$62,000,000	30.0%	0	Blended
\$9,945,915	\$6,104,376	\$8,180,710	\$8,265,718	1.0%	0	Blended
\$2,000,000	\$2,120,004	\$1,000,000	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$21,243,239	\$21,243,202	\$26,974,686	\$28,718,985	6.5%	0	Blended
\$1,260,717	\$1,145,902	\$944,998	\$2,200,000	132.8%	380	Blended
\$3,529,277	\$6,223,554	\$4,318,254	\$6,850,799	58.6%	1,510	Blended
\$27,991,800	\$28,595,563	\$34,595,700	\$15,500,000	-55.2%	0	Unduplicated
\$2,502,594	\$2,537,594	\$2,366,503	\$2,866,680	21.1%	597	Blended
\$1,997,754	\$1,997,754	\$2,038,485	\$7,155,300	251.0%	0	Blended
\$1,588,837	\$1,469,871	\$2,037,522	\$2,000,000	-1.8%	307	Unduplicated
\$2,703,255	\$2,249,878	\$8,183,623	\$6,560,753	-19.8%	1	Blended
\$12,032,253	\$6,753,806	\$7,430,750	\$26,446,516	255.9%	6,134	Blended Units
\$3,355,050	\$2,580,000	\$7,032,600	\$2,200,000	-68.7%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$10,890,722	\$6,036,145	\$6,554,754	\$19,150,262	192.2%	1,520	Blended
\$6,699,290	\$6,223,553	\$5,033,942	\$16,136,535	220.6%	0	Blended
\$3,572,742	\$2,649,041	\$4,516,308	\$6,752,814	49.5%	0	Blended
\$14,475,619	\$10,528,759	\$13,280,752	\$21,653,728	63.0%	0	Blended
\$2,246,886	\$0	\$400,000	\$850,000	112.5%	118	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	0	N/A
<b>\$312,664,523</b>	<b>\$312,664,523</b>	<b>\$332,778,124</b>	<b>\$456,108,441</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>57,102</b>	
42.52%	31.92%	34.0%	43.40%			
51	51	51	56			

\* LIHEAP funds in these states were also used blended with DOE funds, which are counted under DOE funds



**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Table 6:**  
**PVE Funding Levels**  
**1999–2009**

Grantee	1999 PVE	2000 PVE	2001 PVE	2002 PVE	2003 PVE	2004 PVE	2005 PVE
Alabama	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Alaska	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Arizona	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Arkansas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
California	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,049,000
Colorado	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Connecticut	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Delaware	\$295,547	\$323,021	\$90,832	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Dist. Columbia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Florida	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0
Georgia	\$736,564	\$0	\$0	\$778,179	\$749,800	\$0	\$0
Hawaii	\$27,608	\$2,504	\$1,407	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Idaho	\$0	\$72,600	\$0	\$0	\$57,546	\$114,290	\$123,607
Illinois	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Indiana	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$993,862
Iowa	\$560,000	\$390,000	\$250,000	\$25,000	\$50,000	\$0	\$0
Kansas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kentucky	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Louisiana	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Maine	\$49,142	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Maryland	\$560,203	\$0	\$170,000	\$0	\$0	\$9,229	\$0
Massachusetts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Michigan	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Minnesota	\$2,055,000	\$755,049	\$150,000	\$60,000	\$90,000	\$0	\$0
Mississippi	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Missouri	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Montana	\$900,000	\$649,991	\$38,907	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nebraska	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nevada	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Hampshire	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Jersey	\$0	\$0	\$38,907	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Mexico	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New York	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
North Carolina	\$0	\$2,600,000	\$1,312,555	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
North Dakota	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ohio	\$5,242,073	\$6,367,775	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oklahoma	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oregon	\$168,211	\$150,018	\$0	\$0	\$43,527	\$0	\$0
Pennsylvania	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rhode Island	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
South Carolina	\$0	\$0	\$185,087	\$113,771	\$0	\$0	\$0
South Dakota	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tennessee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Texas	\$781,697	\$1,340,099	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$348,170	\$0	\$0
Utah	\$20,461	\$100,000	\$149,268	\$349,675	\$176,768	\$31,524	\$0
Vermont	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Virginia	\$160,000	\$172,831	\$150,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	\$0
Washington	\$33,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
West Virginia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wisconsin	\$700,000	\$130,000	\$50,686	\$386,917	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wyoming	\$25,000	\$0	\$825,776	\$825,775	\$0	\$450,000	\$0
Amer. Samoa	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Guam	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Puerto Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N. Mariana Isles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Virgin Islands	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Totals</b>			<b>\$5,413,425</b>	<b>\$6,559,317</b>	<b>\$5,535,811</b>	<b>\$2,605,043</b>	<b>\$6,166,469</b>
% of Prog. Dollars	4.74%	2.92%	0.96%	1.09%	0.92%	0.41%	0.84%
Grantees Reporting	51	51	51	51	51	51	51



2006 PVE	2007 PVE	2008 PVE	2009 PVE	% Change 2008 to 2009	Est. 2009 PVE Prod.	Production Type
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$1,961,577	\$2,445,646	\$2,009,734	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$775,273	-22.5%	392	Blended
\$0	\$5,738	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$800,000	\$800,000	\$819,846	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$36,000	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,886	100.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$30,000	-90.0%	5	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
<b>\$3,761,577</b>	<b>\$4,287,384</b>	<b>\$9,379,580</b>	<b>\$852,159</b>	<b>-90.9%</b>	<b>397</b>	
0.51%	0.44%	1.0%	0.08%			
51	51	51	56			



**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Table 7:  
Other Funding  
Levels  
1999 –2009**

Grantee	1999 Other	2000 Other	2001 Other	2002 Other	2003 Other	2004 Other
Alabama	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$310,600
Alaska	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
Arizona	\$999,128	\$939,847	\$1,728,292	\$575,782	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Arkansas	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$50,000	\$2,350,000	\$0
California	\$0	\$10,004,646	\$23,385,540	\$0	\$0	\$0
Colorado	\$2,135,426	\$2,600,000	\$2,392,200	\$2,641,600	\$2,689,149	\$2,689,149
Connecticut	\$1,000,000	\$1,148,000	\$5,012,128	\$5,012,128	\$3,899,000	\$3,899,000
Delaware	\$40,000	\$0	\$267,000	\$267,000	\$375,000	\$282,893
Dist. Columbia	\$230,000	\$0	\$1,090,000	\$1,632,500	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000
Florida	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$0
Georgia	\$1,750,000	\$800,000	\$800,000	\$800,000	\$800,000	\$800,000
Hawaii	\$0	\$0	\$27,200	\$24,000	\$0	\$0
Idaho	\$613,602	\$411,157	\$467,203	\$462,860	\$651,296	\$1,394,299
Illinois	\$7,300,000	\$7,500,000	\$7,200,000	\$7,200,000	\$7,200,000	\$7,200,000
Indiana	\$106,797	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,900,000
Iowa	\$1,458,970	\$2,121,970	\$2,247,970	\$2,247,970	\$2,447,970	\$3,135,970
Kansas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kentucky	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Louisiana	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Maine	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,000
Maryland	\$678,924	\$850,000	\$850,000	\$1,100,000	\$525,019	\$724,532
Massachusetts	\$9,806,076	\$11,400,000	\$13,618,957	\$17,000,000	\$17,100,000	\$18,038,878
Michigan	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Minnesota	\$758,000	\$3,203,111	\$3,122,345	\$3,200,000	\$1,807,839	\$2,455,866
Mississippi	\$70,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,167,925
Missouri	\$970,000	\$970,000	\$1,609,000	\$1,765,000	\$1,765,000	\$1,765,000
Montana	\$1,100,000	\$1,072,281	\$1,430,500	\$1,438,000	\$1,557,941	\$1,559,060
Nebraska	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nevada	\$140,000	\$142,000	\$140,000	\$2,240,000	\$3,352,637	\$2,621,272
New Hampshire	\$0	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$120,000	\$1,091,164	\$1,370,808
New Jersey	\$0	\$0	\$3,179,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Mexico	\$1,050,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$695,915
New York	\$5,600,000	\$10,081,131	\$7,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$10,670,000	\$7,000,000
North Carolina	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
North Dakota	\$40,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ohio	\$6,624,588	\$8,150,121	\$6,723,890	\$8,000,000	\$20,209,460	\$18,976,875
Oklahoma	\$0	\$0	\$12,000	\$20,000	\$15,000	\$15,000
Oregon	\$2,033,025	\$997,500	\$780,000	\$5,959,200	\$7,976,123	\$7,976,123
Pennsylvania	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rhode Island	\$200,000	\$300,000	\$300,400	\$700,000	\$700,000	\$600,000
South Carolina	\$250,000	\$70,000	\$30,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$54,000
South Dakota	\$0	\$42,289	\$13,035	\$24,014	\$0	\$0
Tennessee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Texas	\$3,143,267	\$4,333,601	\$6,121,115	\$8,051,842	\$10,957,070	\$2,203,235
Utah	\$16,000	\$616,000	\$606,000	\$860,344	\$1,077,071	\$336,816
Vermont	\$4,021,290	\$3,723,430	\$3,797,406	\$4,795,976	\$3,786,098	\$4,704,406
Virginia	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$0	\$375,000	\$158,090	\$2,000,000
Washington	\$6,262,500	\$11,959,086	\$7,110,000	\$7,333,891	\$8,242,729	\$8,242,729
West Virginia	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$375,000	\$225,000	\$150,000
Wisconsin	\$2,230,000	\$6,398,000	\$12,959,306	\$26,560,413	\$32,229,734	\$39,616,460
Wyoming	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Amer. Samoa	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Guam	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Puerto Rico	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
N. Mariana Isles	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Virgin Islands	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$64,027,593</b>	<b>\$93,934,170</b>	<b>\$119,920,987</b>	<b>\$124,268,520</b>	<b>\$151,394,390</b>	<b>\$150,286,811</b>
% of Prog. Dollars	14.59%	18.25%	21.21%	20.74%	25.13%	23.49%
Grantees Reporting	51	51	51	51	51	51





2005 Other	2006 Other	2007 Other	2008 Other	2009 Other	% Change 2008 to 2009	Est. 2008 Other Prod.	Production Type
\$275,000	\$275,000	\$230,000	\$225,000	\$350,000	55.6%	0	N/A
\$2,832,000	\$3,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$200,000,000	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$4,200,000	\$4,200,000	\$2,600,000	-38.1%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$407,437	\$1,629,724	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$2,506,000	\$2,382,000	\$2,290,000	\$2,391,000	\$2,419,660	1.2%	0	N/A
\$0	\$4,784,332	\$4,700,000	\$6,510,000	\$7,500,000	15.2%	13,343	Blended
\$380,000	\$0	\$367,000	\$367,000	\$1,778,800	384.7%	274	Blended
\$749,216	\$3,545,000	\$3,545,000	\$6,745,600	\$4,643,600	-31.2%	628	Blended
\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$800,000	\$2,443,285	\$1,900,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,400,000	-4.0%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$2,357,437	\$1,961,577	\$2,445,646	\$2,328,948	\$2,414,795	3.7%	483	Blended
\$7,200,000	\$7,500,000	\$7,500,000	\$10,882,516	\$7,500,000	-31.1%	953	Unduplicated
\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,966,000	\$2,400,000	\$0	-100.0%	0	0
\$4,814,742	\$5,088,102	\$4,823,114	\$4,833,117	\$4,973,835	2.9%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$2,094,243	\$1,549,747	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$1,618,054	-14.8%	248	Blended
\$21,129,367	\$21,000,000	\$20,400,000	\$21,000,000	\$29,000,000	38.1%	8,000	Unduplicated
\$4,215,000	\$4,500,000	\$10,425,000	\$10,425,000	\$8,500,000	-18.5%	2,056	Unduplicated
\$2,207,451	\$2,290,000	\$2,214,871	\$2,835,336	\$2,000,000	-29.5%	1,333	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	0
\$2,023,000	\$2,631,714	\$2,908,869	\$2,181,186	\$2,957,892	35.6%	678	Blended
\$1,923,903	\$2,257,636	\$2,257,636	\$3,359,682	\$2,442,253	-27.3%	330	Unduplicated
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$2,803,420	\$3,394,414	\$3,857,000	\$3,648,815	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$996,286	\$2,117,349	\$2,441,012	\$2,954,144	\$3,569,721	20.8%	200	Blended
\$0	\$3,725,000	\$4,725,000	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$2,701,069	\$823,000	\$963,453	\$823,453	\$2,188,178	165.7%	355	Unduplicated
\$7,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	0.0%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$19,608,322	\$19,891,983	\$26,776,196	\$20,000,000	\$0	-100.0%	0	N/A
\$3,000	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	\$250,000	\$400,000	60.0%	160	Blended
\$7,462,152	\$7,462,152	\$7,200,000	\$6,890,500	\$7,100,000	3.0%	1,700	Blended
\$0	\$0	0	0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$650,000	\$957,303	\$1,853,230	\$1,251,316	\$2,759,601	120.5%	630	Blended
\$49,352	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$17,700	-64.6%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$1,816,165	\$2,049,865	\$2,182,542	\$901,531	\$1,082,873	20.1%	345	Blended
\$322,101	\$466,717	\$938,012	\$1,188,836	\$493,000	-58.5%	0	Blended
\$5,991,917	\$5,983,363	\$7,361,613	\$7,943,609	\$4,593,774	-42.2%	0	Blended
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
\$8,460,000	\$7,200,000	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	0.0%	0	Blended
\$0	\$499,650	\$1,748,650	\$604,750	\$437,500	-27.7%	1,997	Blended
\$41,101,045	\$41,031,647	\$50,972,792	\$46,310,037	\$46,135,744	-0.4%	0	Blended
\$125,000	\$524,088	\$206,358	\$1,768,277	\$1,550,974	-12.3%	174	Unduplicated
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0	0.0%	0	N/A
<b>\$157,697,188</b>	<b>\$176,834,924</b>	<b>\$212,306,430</b>	<b>\$400,299,377</b>	<b>\$172,427,954</b>	<b>-56.9%</b>	<b>33,887</b>	
21.57%	24.05%	21.67%	40.9%	16.41%			
51	51	51	51	56			



## Weatherization Assistance Program Funding Survey

**Table 8: Source of Other Funds**

State	2009	
Alabama	\$350,000	Utility
Alaska	\$0	N/A
Arizona	\$2,600,000	Utility
Arkansas	\$0	N/A
California	\$0	N/A
Colorado	\$2,419,660	Utility
Connecticut	\$7,500,000	Utility
Delaware	\$1,778,800	Utility & Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI)
Dist. Columbia	\$4,643,600	Sustainable Energy Trust Fund
Florida	\$0	N/A
Georgia	\$2,400,000	Utility
Hawaii	\$0	N/A
Idaho	\$2,414,795	Utility, BPA Funds
Illinois	\$7,500,000	Supplemental State LIHEAP Fund
Indiana	\$0	N/A
Iowa	\$4,973,835	Utility
Kansas	\$0	N/A
Kentucky	\$0	N/A
Louisiana	\$0	N/A
Maine	\$0	N/A
Maryland	\$1,618,054	Utility & Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI)
Massachusetts	\$29,000,000	Utility & Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI)
Michigan	\$8,500,000	Utility
Minnesota	\$2,000,000	Utility, HUD/CDBG funds
Mississippi	\$0	N/A
Missouri	\$2,957,892	Utility
Montana	\$2,442,253	Utility
Nebraska	\$0	N/A
Nevada	\$0	N/A
New Hampshire	\$3,569,721	N/A
New Jersey	\$0	N/A
New Mexico	\$2,188,178	Utility
New York	\$10,000,000	Owner investments in larger multifamily buildings
North Carolina	\$0	N/A
North Dakota	\$0	N/A
Ohio	\$0	N/A
Oklahoma	\$400,000	Utility
Oregon	\$7,100,000	BPA, ECHO
Pennsylvania	\$0	N/A
Rhode Island	\$2,759,601	Utility
South Carolina	\$17,700	Utility
South Dakota	\$0	N/A
Tennessee	\$0	N/A
Texas	\$1,082,873	Utility
Utah	\$493,000	Utility, \$14,800 State
Vermont	\$4,593,774	Weatherization Trust Fund (state funds)
Virginia	\$0	N/A
Washington	\$9,000,000	State of Washington Matchmakers Funding
West Virginia	\$437,500	Utility Funds
Wisconsin	\$46,135,744	Utility Public Benefit Funds
Wyoming	\$1,550,974	State General Fund
Amer. Samoa	\$0	N/A
Guam	\$0	N/A
Puerto Rico	\$0	N/A
N. Mariana Isles	\$0	N/A
Virgin Islands	\$0	N/A
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$172,427,954</b>	


**Table 9: ARRA Funding Levels**

Grantee	Est. ARRA	Est. ARRA Production
Alabama	\$71,800,599	6,651
Alaska	\$18,142,580	1,500
Arizona	\$57,023,278	6,412
Arkansas	\$48,114,415	5,578
California	\$185,811,061	43,150
Colorado	\$79,531,213	10,478
Connecticut	\$64,310,502	7,500
Delaware	\$13,733,668	1,950
Dist. Columbia	\$8,089,022	784
Florida	\$175,984,474	19,090
Georgia	\$124,756,312	13,617
Hawaii	\$4,041,461	672
Idaho	\$30,341,929	3,113
Illinois	\$242,526,619	29,000
Indiana	\$131,847,383	19,736
Iowa	\$80,834,411	7,196
Kansas	\$56,441,771	5,460
Kentucky	\$70,913,750	9,076
Louisiana	\$50,657,478	5,136
Maine	\$41,935,015	4,426
Maryland	\$61,441,745	6,850
Massachusetts	\$122,077,457	16,926
Michigan	\$243,398,975	32,232
Minnesota	\$131,937,411	16,858
Mississippi	\$49,421,193	5,468
Missouri	\$128,148,027	21,506
Montana	\$26,543,777	2,477
Nebraska	\$41,644,458	4,000
Nevada	\$37,281,937	5,539
New Hampshire	\$23,218,594	2,606
New Jersey	\$118,821,296	13,054
New Mexico	\$26,855,604	2,788
New York	\$394,686,513	45,000
North Carolina	\$131,954,536	22,203
North Dakota	\$25,266,330	3,267
Ohio	\$266,781,409	32,179
Oklahoma	\$60,903,196	7,060
Oregon	\$38,512,236	4,635
Pennsylvania	\$252,793,062	29,554
Rhode Island	\$20,073,615	2,532
South Carolina	\$58,892,771	6,500
South Dakota	\$24,487,296	2,327
Tennessee	\$99,112,101	10,512
Texas	\$326,975,732	33,908
Utah	\$37,897,203	4,466
Vermont	\$16,842,576	1,612
Virginia	\$94,134,276	9,193
Washington	\$59,545,074	7,100
West Virginia	\$37,583,874	3,574
Wisconsin	\$141,502,133	20,684
Wyoming	\$10,239,261	928
Amer. Samoa	\$552,501	225
Guam	\$1,119,297	204
Puerto Rico	\$48,865,588	5,500
N. Mariana Isles	\$571,207	173
Virgin Islands	\$1,415,429	430
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$4,665,810,609</b>	<b>578,063</b>




**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Figure 1:**  
DOE WAP Funding  
vs. Total WAP Funding  
1999–2009



**Figure2:**  
LIHEAP WAP vs.  
Total WAP Funding  
1999–2009





Weatherization Assistance Program Funding Survey

Figure 3:  
PVE WAP Funding  
vs. Total WAP Funding  
1999–2009






Figure 4:  
Other Funding vs.  
Total WAP Funding  
1999–2009






**Weatherization  
Assistance  
Program  
Funding Survey**

**Figure 5:**  
WAP Funding from  
All Sources  
1999–2009



**Figure 6:**  
2009 WAP Funding  
by Source





---

## **Our Mission:** Building capacity in states to respond to poverty issues

---

The National Association for State Community Services Programs (NASCSP) is the premier national association charged with advocating and enhancing the leadership role of states in preventing and reducing poverty. NASCSP's vision encompasses the empowerment of low-income families to reach self-sufficiency in its broadest context, through helping states attain full utilization of their resources and implement an extensive array of services to these families, including weatherization, energy assistance, child care, nutrition, employment, state energy programs, job training, and housing in urban, suburban and rural communities.



**National Association for State Community Services Programs**

444 North Capitol Street, NW, Suite 846  
Washington, DC 20001

[www.nascsp.org](http://www.nascsp.org)

202-624-5866

[nascsp@nascsp.org](mailto:nascsp@nascsp.org)